

Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Grantee Conference Overall Evaluation Survey

We appreciate your attendance at this year's conference! To assist us in planning future conferences, please complete this evaluation form.

Please note your participation in this survey is voluntary. Survey responses are anonymous and will be kept private. The Paperwork Reduction Act OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13). Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The control number for this project is 0970-0401. The control number expires on 5/31/2027.

1. Please select the role(s) that best describe you. Select all that apply.
 - ☐ Grantee
 - ☐ Subrecipient staff
 - ☐ Federal staff
 - ☐ Workshop or panel presenter
 - ☐ Poster presenter
 - ☐ Federal contractor
 - ☐ Exhibitor
 - ☐ Program developer/trainer
 - ☐ Other - Write In:
2. If you are a grantee or subrecipient, what is your primary role on your grant? Select only one.
 - ☐ Project administrator (e.g., director, manager, coordinator)
 - ☐ Field staff (e.g., educator, facilitator, etc.)
 - ☐ Evaluator
 - ☐ Partner/subrecipient (who is not an evaluator)
 - ☐ Other - Write In:
 - ☐ I am not an HHS grantee or subrecipient.
3. If you are a grantee or subrecipient, please identify your grantee type. Select all that apply.
 - ☐ State Personal Responsibility Education Program
 - ☐ Competitive Personal Responsibility Education Program
 - ☐ Tribal Personal Responsibility Education Program
 - ☐ Personal Responsibility Education Program Innovative Strategies
 - ☐ Title V State Sexual Risk Avoidance Education Grant Program
 - ☐ Title V Competitive Sexual Risk Avoidance Education Grant Program
 - ☐ General Departmental Sexual Risk Avoidance Education Program
 - ☐ I am not an APP grantee or subrecipient

Conference Objectives

Attachment A

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements.

4. By the end of the conference, I was able to:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
Learn at least three new strategies for supporting future SRAE and/or PREP programming.						
Obtain resources to equitably meet the needs of youth who are underserved.						
Connect with other PREP and/or SRAE grantees to network and share resources, lessons learned, and innovative practices.						
Identify new tools, resources, and connections to improve organizational capacity, evaluation, partnerships, social media marketing, and/or sustainability.						
Demonstrate an understanding of emerging trends in adolescent health, positive youth development, pregnancy prevention, and life skills development.						

5. If you gave a score of "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree" for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

6. What did you think of the conference OVERALL?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The conference met my expectations.						
The information and resources shared at the conference will expand my capacity to do my job.						
The conference made me want to seek out						

Attachment A

technical assistance and support.						
The amount and timing of breaks were sufficient.						

7. If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

Conference Logistics

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements.

What did you think of the *preliminary materials* for the conference?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The online registration process was user-friendly.						
The conference website was easy to navigate.						
The conference website contained useful information.						
Conference communications were timely and included useful information.						

8. If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

9. What did you think of the *hotel accommodations* at the conference?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The meeting rooms and informal networking spaces were appropriate for the conference.						
The hotel sleeping accommodations were satisfactory.						
There were sufficient options for meals within walking distance of the hotel.						

10. What did you think of the *on-site logistics and materials* for the conference?

Attachment A

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The on-site registration process was easy to navigate.						
Conference support staff were readily available and helpful.						
The conference program book was informative.						
The conference signs were helpful.						
The conference app was user friendly.						

11. If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

Plenary Sessions

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements.

12. What did you think of the Opening Session with **SPEAKER NAME** (*PRESENTATION DATE*)?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The presenter conveyed the information clearly.						
The presenter was knowledgeable about the subject matter.						
The information presented was useful and applicable to my work.						
The session generated thoughtful discussion.						

13. If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

14. What did you think of the Closing Session with **SPEAKER NAME** (*PRESENTATION DATE*)?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The presenter conveyed the information clearly.						

Attachment A

The presenter was knowledgeable about the subject matter.						
The information presented was useful and applicable to my work.						
The session generated thoughtful discussion.						

15. If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

16. What did you think of the *Youth Plenary Session (DATE)*?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The youth presenters shared their experiences with APP programming.						
The session generated thoughtful discussion.						
As a result of attending this session, I have ideas on how to improve programming to better meet the needs of youth.						

17. If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

18. What did you think of the *FYSB Updates (DATE)*?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
I received timely, useful, and relevant information and resources from FYSB.						
The information presented was useful and applicable for me.						

19. If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

Other Sessions

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements.

Attachment A

20. What did you think of the *Networking Sessions (DATE(s))*?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The opportunities for networking were beneficial.						
The networking session(s) generated thoughtful discussion.						
The networking session(s) helped me connect with other grantees.						
The networking session(s) generated an opportunity for sharing information.						
The networking session(s) was organized well.						

21. If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

22. What did you think of the *Federal Project Officer Meet and Greet (DATE)*?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The session provided me with an opportunity to meet with my FPO.						
The session provided relevant and useful information and resources.						
The session provided an opportunity for me to receive information about important dates, program updates and reminders.						

23. If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

24. What did you think of the *Exhibitor and Developer Hall*?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A

Attachment A

There was a variety of exhibitors and developers.						
The information and resources shared were useful and applicable to my work.						
It was helpful to have an opportunity to meet individually with exhibitors and curriculum developers.						
I learned of new resources from interacting with the exhibitors and developers.						

25. If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

26. Forum presentations were debuted at the APP Conference this year. Forum Presentations are 20-minute presentations that were combined into one 75-minute session with 2 other thematically related presentations and facilitated by PREP and SRAE TA Providers. If you attended any forum presentations during concurrent sessions, what did you think of them?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The time allotted for the forum presentations (20 minutes each) was appropriate.						
Overall, forum presentations met my expectations.						
I learned new strategies for supporting future PREP and/or SRAE programming.						
I would like to see forum presentations included in future APP conferences.						

27. If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

Attachment A

28. What aspects of the forum presentations could be improved upon and how?

Final Thoughts

29. What was the best part of the conference?

30. What aspects of the conference could be improved upon and how?

31. Do you have any additional comments or questions about the conference?

32. What topics would you recommend for future conferences, topical trainings, and webinars?
Please provide as much detail as possible.

Thank you for completing this form. Your response is very important to us.