PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) STATEMENT OF PUBLIC BURDEN: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. This is a voluntary collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB # is 0970-0401 and the expiration date is 05/31/2027. If you have any comments on this collection of information, please contact Reviewer@fysb.net.

Note: This entire document is one page.

Thank you for taking the time to participate in this survey. Your feedback is invaluable in helping us assess and improve the grant review process. Our goal is to ensure that the process remains efficient, transparent, and supportive for all participants involved.

The insights you provide will play a critical role in identifying areas of strength as well as opportunities for improvement, enabling us to enhance the overall experience for reviewers.

This survey will take approximately 10 minutes to complete, and your responses will remain private. We appreciate your honest and thoughtful input as we strive to make the grant review process even better in the future.

Thank you for your participation!

Please use this scale to respond.

1=Strongly Agree

2=Agree

3=Neutral

4=Disagree

5=Strongly Disagree

(Availability Survey Communication, Confirmation Survey Communication, Registration and Certification Instructions, Program Campaign Instructions, Timeframe for response, etc)						
	1	2	3	4	5	
The recruitment documents provided thorough information regarding the period of performance and the scope of work.					\bigcirc	
The instructions on how to register for the review were clear.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	
The online registration and certification system was easy to access.	0	0	0	0	0	
The online registration and certification system was easy to navigate.	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	
Please let us know how we	e could improve	e the recruitment p	rocess or if you thi	nk the process sho	uld not change.	
Family & Youth	ı Services E	Bureau Grant I	Review Proce	ss Feedback -	Reviewer	
Family & Youth			Review Proces	ss Feedback -	Reviewer	
	MINING AN	ND TOOL				
WEB-BASED TRA	AINING AN tions are al the review p	ND TOOL				
WEB-BASED TRA	AINING AN tions are al the review p	ND TOOL				
WEB-BASED TRA	AINING AN tions are al the review p	ND TOOL bout how well torocess.	the web-based	l training and	tool helped	
The following quest to prepare you for to 2. Access and Navigation I spent a reasonable amount of time registering for	AINING AN tions are al the review p	ND TOOL bout how well torocess.	the web-based	l training and	tool helped	
The following quest to prepare you for to 2. Access and Navigate I spent a reasonable amount of time registering for training. The web-based training was easy to	AINING ANtions are about the review pation 1 Continuous contents of the cont	ND TOOL cout how well to crocess.	the web-based	4	tool helped 5	

1. **RECRUITMENT**

The web-based training clearly

explained FYSB's goals and vision for the projects funded by the FOA.	0		0	0	0
The web-based training clearly described the performance standards for projects proposed in response to the FOA.	\circ			\bigcirc	
The web-based training clearly explained the roles and responsibilities of the review chair.		0	0	0	0
At the end of web- based training, I knew what to do if a reviewer was non- responsive.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
The web-based training clearly explained the meaning of all scoring criteria.		0	0	0	0
The web-based training clearly explained how to assign numeric scores for all criteria.	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
The "helpful questions to consider" section helped prepare me to fulfill my responsibilities as review chair.			\circ	\bigcirc	0
The guidelines for addressing variance in reviewers' scores were clear.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
The guidelines for addressing variance in reviewers' scores were thorough.		\circ	0		0
The guidelines for writing evaluative comments were clear.		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
The guidelines for writing evaluative comments were thorough.		\circ	0	\circ	0
The description of the grant review			\bigcirc		\bigcirc

process and timeline was clear.	-	_	-	-	-
4. Usefullness					
	1	2	3	4	5
The web-based training was useful.					
The web-based training took a reasonable amount of time to complete.	\bigcirc				\bigcirc
Please let us know how we	could improve	the online training	or if you think the	e training should no	ot change.
Family & Youth	Services B	Bureau Grant I	Review Proce	ss Feedback -	Reviewer
5 COMPREHENCIO	NI ACCECCI				
5. COMPREHENSIO					
The comprehension	1	2	3	4	5
The comprehension assessment was an accurate indication of whether I was prepared to chair a grant application review.				0	
It took me a reasonable amount of time to complete the comprehension assessment.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc
Please let us know how we change.	could improve	the comprehension	n assessment or if	you think the asses	ssment should not
Family & Youth	Services B	Bureau Grant I	Review Proce	ss Feedback -	Reviewer
ARM REVIEWER	MANITIAT				

The following questions are about how well the reviewer manual helped to prepare you for the review process.

6.	Access	and	Nav	⁄iga	tion
----	--------	-----	-----	------	------

	1	2	3	4	5
The reviewer manual was easy to access.					
The reviewer manual was easy to navigate.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc

7. Information Presented in the Reviewer Manual

	1	2	3	4	5
The reviewer manual clearly explained the roles and responsibilities of reviewers.	0	0	0	0	0
The reviewer manual clearly explained the roles and responsibilities of the review chair.		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
The reviewer manual clearly explained the roles and responsibilities of Federal staff involved with a grant review.			0	0	0
The instructions for entering grant review information were clear and easy to follow.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	\circ	\circ
Instructions on how to return evaluations were clear and easy to follow.	\circ	\circ	0	\bigcirc	0
It was easy to enter review scores.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
It was easy to enter review comments.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc
It was easy to indicate whether a comment indicated a strength or weakness.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	\circ	0
It was easy to submit my evaluation.		\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Instructions on how to respond to an evaluation returned by the review chairperson were clear and easy to follow.	\bigcirc		0	0	0
Instructions on how to update information in my account were clear and easy to follow.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	0	0

8. Usefulness								
	1	2	3	4	5			
The manual was a useful resource.	\bigcirc							
Please let us know how the reviewer manual could be improved or if you think the process should not change.								
Family & Yout	h Services B	ureau Grant I	Review Proce	ss Feedback -	Reviewer			
9. OVERALL								
	1	2	3	4	5			
The training adequately prepared								
me to conduct a grant application review.								
The review chair provided me with								
clear guidance whenever I needed it.								
10.								
10. In addition to su	ggestions you	may have mad	e in response t	o previous items	s, please let us			
know how we could	improve the t	raining process	overall or if yo	ou think the pro	cess should			
not change.								
11. Please share any	additional co	mments about	the grant revie	w training proc	ess here.			
			/					