PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) STATEMENT OF PUBLIC BURDEN: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. This is a voluntary collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB # is 0970-0401 and the expiration date is 05/31/2027. If you have any comments on this collection of information, please contact Reviewer@fysb.net.

Note: This entire document is one page.

Thank you for taking the time to participate in this survey. Your feedback is invaluable in helping us assess and improve the grant review process. Our goal is to ensure that the process remains efficient, transparent, and supportive for all participants involved.

The insights you provide will play a critical role in identifying areas of strength as well as opportunities for improvement, enabling us to enhance the overall experience for reviewers.

This survey will take approximately 10 minutes to complete, and your responses will remain private. We appreciate your honest and thoughtful input as we strive to make the grant review process even better in the future.

Thank you for your participation!

Please use this scale to respond.

1=Strongly Agree

2=Agree

3=Neutral

4=Disagree

5=Strongly Disagree

(Availability Survey Communication, Confirmation Survey Communication, Registration and Certification Instructions, Program Campaign Instructions, Timeframe for response, etc)						
	1	2	3	4	5	
The recruitment documents provided thorough information regarding the period of performance and the scope of work.						
The instructions on how to register for the review were clear.						
The online registration and certification system was easy to access.		0				
The online registration and certification system was easy to navigate.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	
Please let us know how we	e could improve	e the recruitment p	rocess or if you thi	nk the process sho	uld not change.	
Family & You	th Services	s Bureau Gran	t Review Proc	cess Feedbacl	κ - Chair	
Family & You  WEB-BASED TRA			t Review Prod	cess Feedbacl	k - Chair	
	AINING AN tions are al the review p	ND TOOL				
WEB-BASED TRA  The following quest to prepare you for t	AINING AN tions are al the review p	ND TOOL				
WEB-BASED TRA  The following quest to prepare you for t	AINING AN tions are al the review p	ND TOOL  bout how well a	the web-based	l training and	tool helped	
WEB-BASED TRA  The following quest to prepare you for to  2. Access and Naviga  I spent a reasonable amount of time registering for	AINING AN tions are al the review p	ND TOOL  bout how well a	the web-based	l training and	tool helped	
The following quest to prepare you for to 2. Access and Navigate I spent a reasonable amount of time registering for training.  The web-based training was easy to	tions are all the review partition	ND TOOL  bout how well a process.	the web-based	4	tool helped  5	
WEB-BASED TRA  The following quest to prepare you for to  2. Access and Naviga  I spent a reasonable amount of time registering for training.  The web-based training was easy to access.  3. Information Pres	tions are all the review partition	ND TOOL  bout how well a process.	the web-based	4	tool helped  5	

1. **RECRUITMENT** 

training clearly

explained FYSB's goals and vision for the projects funded by the FOA.	0	0	0	0	0
The web-based training clearly described the performance standards for projects proposed in response to the FOA.	$\bigcirc$			$\bigcirc$	
The web-based training clearly explained the roles and responsibilities of the review chair.		$\circ$	0	0	0
At the end of web- based training, I knew what to do if a reviewer was non- responsive.	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
The web-based training clearly explained the meaning of all scoring criteria.		0	0	0	0
The web-based training clearly explained how to assign numeric scores for all criteria.	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
The "helpful questions to consider" section helped prepare me to fulfill my responsibilities as review chair.				$\bigcirc$	0
The guidelines for addressing variance in reviewers' scores were clear.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	
The guidelines for addressing variance in reviewers' scores were thorough.		$\circ$	0		0
The guidelines for writing evaluative comments were clear.		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
The guidelines for writing evaluative comments were thorough.	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	0
The description of the grant review	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$

process and timeline was clear.	-	-	-	-	-			
4. Usefullness								
	1	2	3	4	5			
The web-based training was useful.		$\circ$						
The web-based training took a reasonable amount of time to complete.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
Please let us know how we	could improve	the online training	or if you think the	e training should no	ot change.			
Family & Yout	h Services	Bureau Gran	t Review Prod	ess Feedback	: - Chair			
5. COMPREHENSION ASSESSMENT								
	1	2	3	4	5			
The comprehension assessment was an accurate indication of whether I was prepared to chair a grant application review.	$\circ$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$				
It took me a reasonable amount of time to complete the comprehension assessment.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\circ$				
Please let us know how we change.	could improve	e the comprehension	n assessment or if	you think the asses	ssment should not			
Family & Yout	h Services	Bureau Gran	t Review Prod	cess Feedback	c - Chair			
ARM CHAIR MAN	TIAT							
TITLE CHAIR MAN	CIL							

The following questions are about how well the review chair manual helped to prepare you for the review process.

## 6. Access and Navigation

	1	2	3	4	5
The review chair manual was easy to access.	$\circ$	$\circ$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
The review chair manual was easy to navigate.	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	
7. <b>Information Pre</b>	sented in the	e Chair Manu	al		
	1	2	3	4	5
The chair manual clearly explained the roles and responsibilities of reviewers.		$\bigcirc$		$\circ$	$\bigcirc$
The chair manual clearly explained the roles and responsibilities of the review chair.	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	0	$\circ$
The chair manual clearly explained the roles and responsibilities of Federal staff involved with a grant review.				$\bigcirc$	
The instructions for entering grant review information were clear and easy to follow.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Instructions on how to return evaluations were clear and easy to follow.	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
It was easy to review my final report.			$\bigcirc$		
It was easy to submit my final report.					
Instructions on how to update information in my account were clear and easy to follow.		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Instructions on how to update information in my account were clear and easy to follow.	0	0	0	0	0

8. Usefulness								
	1	2	3	4	5			
The manual was a useful resource.	$\bigcirc$							
Please let us know ho	Please let us know how the reviewer manual could be improved or if you think the process should not change.							
Family &	Youth Services	Bureau Grant	Review Pro	cess Feedback	- Chair			
9. OVERALL								
	1	2	3	4	5			
The training								
adequately prepare me to conduct a	d							
grant application review.		<u> </u>	O		<u> </u>			
Federal staff								
provided me with clear guidance								
whenever I needed								
it.								
10. In addition to suggestions you may have made in response to previous items, please let us								
know how we could improve the training process overall or if you think the process should								
not change.								
			<del>-</del>					