

SUPPORTING STATEMENT
FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

- 1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. What is the purpose for this information collection? Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Include a citation that authorizes the collection of information. Specify the review type of the collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change). If revised, briefly specify the changes. If a rulemaking is involved, list the sections with a brief description of the information collection requirement, and/or changes to sections, if applicable.**

The U.S. Department of Education (Department) requests approval of a non-substantive change to the annual performance report (APR) serving two long-established programs, Upward Bound (UB) and Upward Bound Math-Science (UBMS) (OMB No.: 1840-0831). On an annual basis, the Department uses the UB-UBMS APR to collect data regarding grantees' performance under these programs.

The UB and UBMS programs provide Federal financial assistance in the form of discretionary grants to (a) institutions of higher education; (b) public and private agencies and organizations including community-based organizations with experience in serving disadvantaged youth; (c) secondary schools; and (d) combinations of such institutions, agencies and organizations. The programs provide opportunities for participants to succeed in their precollege performance and ultimately in their higher education pursuits. UB and UBMS serve high school students from low-income families and high school students from families in which neither parent holds a bachelor's degree. The goal of UB and UBMS is to increase the rate at which participants complete secondary education and enroll in, and graduate from, institutions of postsecondary education (20 U.S.C. 1070a-11 and 1070a-13).

The information that grantees submit in the APR allows the Department to assess annually each grantee's progress in meeting the project's approved goals and objectives. The APR data is compared with the projects' approved objectives to determine the projects' accomplishments, to make decisions regarding whether funding should be continued, and to award "prior experience" (PE) points. The regulations for the programs provide for awarding up to 15 points for prior experience (34 CFR 645.32). During a competition for new grant awards, the PE points are added to the average of the peer reviewers' scores to arrive at a total score for each application. Funding recommendations and decisions are primarily based on the rank order of applications on the slate; therefore, assessment of PE points, based on data submitted in the APR, is a crucial part of the overall application process.

Further, this performance report form collects aggregate and quantifiable data needed to respond to the requirements of the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA). The Department's overall objective for the UB and UBMS programs is to increase the percentage of low-income, first-generation students who enter and succeed in a program of postsecondary education.

The Department collects information from UB and UBMS grantees under the authority of Title IV, Part A, Subpart 2, Chapter 1, Section 402C of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, and of the programs' regulations in 34 CFR 645; 34 CFR Sections 75.720 and 75.732 of EDGAR; and 2 CFR 200.328 and 200.333. The authorizing statute, as amended in 2008 by the Higher Education Opportunity Act (HEOA), which is the basis for the current program regulations, can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/trio/statute-trio-gu.pdf>.

The proposed change to the APR entails adding additional options to Section 1, Part A, #9 addressing questions about project summer service, and Section 2, #28 addressing student participation during summer period. In the 2023-24 performance period, 38 out of 1,265 projects transitioned to a one-time 15-month performance period, covering two summers. Additional options in Section 1, Part A, #9, and Section 2, #28, are necessary to provide clarity in responses to these items. Since no additional questions are added, no additional burden is entailed.

7/22/25:

The Department of Education (Department) is requesting approval of a non-substantive change to a currently approved collection. The update made in the UB/UBMS annual performance report involves changes to a single field (Section II, field 9). The changes include the APR Field Name (Gender to Sex), the Database Column Name (GenderCD to SexCD), and the deletion of two of the four response options in the Valid Field Content column ("3=Nonbinary or another gender" and "0=No response/Unknown"). These changes are pursuant to Executive Order "Defending Women From Gender Ideology Extremism and Restoring Biological Truth to the Federal Government" (January 20, 2025). Response options "1=Male" and "2=Female" will remain.

2. **Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.**

The Department uses the data collected to (a) evaluate projects' accomplishments; (b) determine the number of prior experience points to be awarded to current grantees; and (c) confirm that grantees are following requirements for selecting participants in the program (34 CFR 645.3). In addition, the Department uses the annual performance reports to produce program-level data for annual reporting, budget submissions to OMB, Congressional hearings and inquiries, and responding to inquiries from higher education interest groups and the general public.

3. **Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Please identify systems or websites used to electronically collect this information. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden. If there is an increase or decrease in burden related to using technology (e.g. using an electronic form, system or website from paper), please explain in number 12.**

The data collection method allows the grantees to use computerized data systems to collect, retrieve, and report the requested information. A web-based software application has been developed for grantees to enter the data online and submit the entire report via the Internet. UB and UBMS projects have been submitting the annual performance report via the Internet since 1998.

The website is designed to ensure that data are seen only by authorized individuals and are protected from network hackers. Further, online data edits are in place to ensure the accuracy and integrity of the data that grantees have submitted.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

Since the information grantees submit in their performance reports is unique to each project and is not collected elsewhere, no duplication exists. No other instrument is available to collect the information that the program needs to assess prior experience or program outcomes. The data collected in the APR are fundamental to this program.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden. A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.

This information collection does not affect small businesses or other small entities.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

The collection of performance reports is required annually. Collection of information on a less frequent basis is not feasible. These reports are used to determine if the grantee is making satisfactory progress in meeting the goals and objectives proposed in its initial grant application. In addition, the information is needed to award prior experience points to grantees. Without this data collection, the Department would not have the data to assess the prior experience provision of the authorizing statute, respond to the GPRA, and other program performance and efficiency measures, or develop improved policies for program administration.

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
- requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
- requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;

- **requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;**
- **in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;**
- **requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;**
- **that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or**
- **requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.**

With one exception, no information will be collected in the manner covered under any of the special circumstances outlined. The exception is that respondents are required to retain participant records for more than three years as required under 2 CFR 200.333. In order to assess the impact of UB-UBMS services on participating students' academic progress, grantees should track the academic progress of all prior-year participants until postsecondary completion.

7/22/25:

In March 2024, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) announced revisions to Statistical Policy Directive No. 15: Standards for Maintaining, Collecting, and Presenting Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity (SPD 15) and published the revised SPD 15 standards in the Federal Register (89 FR 22182). This information collection request is not compliant with the new standards as it contains no changes to the race and ethnicity items that are part of this collection. *A request to revise the standards for this information collection will be submitted to OMB by January 2029. The January 2029 revision request will address the new federal statistical standards for race/ethnicity items.* The Department is currently working on an action plan for compliance with the newly revised SPD 15 standards, which will fully take effect on March 28, 2029. Early discussions suggest that implementation of these standards will be particularly complex and delicate in data collections where race and ethnicity data is reported both by individuals about themselves and also provided by third parties providing aggregate data on the individuals they serve and represent (e.g., state and local education agencies, institutions of higher education). The Office of Postsecondary Education will work with grantees to update response categories in accordance with the new standards.

8. **As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB.**

Include a citation for the 60 day comment period (e.g. Vol. 84 FR ##### and the date of publication). Summarize public comments received in response to the 60 day notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden. If only non-substantive comments are provided, please provide a statement to that effect and that it did not relate or warrant any changes to this information collection request. In your comments, please also indicate the number of public comments received.

For the 30 day notice, indicate that a notice will be published. Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years – even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

OMB approved this information collection request on November 14, 2023, in a Notice of Office of Management and Budget Action to the Department of Education. This non-substantive change request does not require any public comment periods.

7/22/25:

This is a currently approved information collection, and this non-substantive change request does not require any public comment periods.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification.

The Department will not provide payment or gifts to respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the System of Records Notice and the date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes the pledge of confidentiality should be provided.¹ If the collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If

¹ Requests for this information are in accordance with the following ED and OMB policies: Privacy Act of 1974, OMB Circular A-108 – Privacy Act Implementation – Guidelines and Responsibilities, OMB Circular A-130 Appendix I – Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, OMB M-03-22 – OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, OMB M-06-15 – Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information, OM:6-104 – Privacy Act of 1974 (Collection, Use and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information)

there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state that the Department makes no pledge about the confidentiality of the data. If no PII will be collected, state that no assurance of confidentiality is provided to respondents. If the Paperwork Burden Statement is not included physically on a form, you may include it here. Please ensure that your response per respondent matches the estimate provided in number 12.

A Privacy Act Statement is found at the very end of Section II of the UB-UBMS APR's record structure, and also in the General Instructions for the APR, at the end of the Introduction (item "L").

The System of Records Notice ("SORN") for the TRIO programs was published in the *Federal Register* on January 23, 2009 (74 FR 4165, 4166-4168).

The Privacy Impact Assessment ("PIA") for TRIO was published on September 2, 2022 and may be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/notices/pia/pia-trio.pdf>.

Updated versions of the SORN and PIA are underway.

- 11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.**

The performance report form does not include questions about sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, or other matters that are commonly considered sensitive and private.

- 12. Provide estimates of the hour burden for this current information collection request. The statement should:**

- **Provide an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. Address changes in burden due to the use of technology (if applicable). Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.**
- **Please do not include increases in burden and respondents numerically in this table. Explain these changes in number 15.**
- **Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector – businesses or other for-profit, private sector – not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable.**

- If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burden in the table below.
- Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. [Use this site](#) to research the appropriate wage rate. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14. If there is no cost to respondents, indicate by entering 0 in the chart below and/or provide a statement.

Provide a descriptive narrative here in addition to completing the table below with burden hour estimates.

The performance reports are submitted annually. Each grant project submits one report per year. On average, 17 hours should be sufficient to gather and upload information to complete the APR.

This average 17-hour response burden is distributed across the 1,264 UB and UBMS grant projects for a total annual burden of 21,488 hours.

On average, each project is estimated to require 15 hours from a project director or other senior person, and 2 hours of effort from an administrative staff person to support the submission process.

Estimated Annual Burden and Respondent Costs Table

Information Activity or IC (with type of respondent)	Sample Size (if applicable)	Respondent Response Rate (if applicable)	Number of Respondents	Number of Responses	Average Burden Hours per Response	Total Annual Burden Hours	Estimated Respondent Average Hourly Wage	Total Annual Costs (hourly wage x total burden hours)
Public sector	N/A	N/A	929	929	17	15,793	Project Director = \$42/hour	\$628,004
Private sector	N/A	N/A	335	335	17	5,695		\$226,460
Annualized Totals	x	x	1,264	1,264	17	21,488	Support staff = \$23/hour	\$854,464

Please ensure the annual total burden, respondents and response match those entered in IC Data Parts 1 and 2, and the response per respondent matches the Paperwork Burden Statement that must be included on all forms.

7/22/25:

The performance reports are submitted annually. Each grant project submits one report per year. On average, 17 hours should be sufficient to gather and upload information to complete the APR.

This average 17-hour response burden is distributed across the 1,277 UB and UBMS grant projects for a total annual burden of 21,709 hours.

On average, each project is estimated to require 15 hours from a project director or other senior person, and 2 hours of effort from an administrative staff person to support the submission process.

Estimated Annual Burden and Respondent Costs Table

Information Activity or IC (with type of respondent)	Sample Size (if applicable)	Respondent Response Rate (if applicable)	Number of Respondents	Number of Responses	Average Burden Hours per Response	Total Annual Burden Hours	Estimated Respondent Average Hourly Wage	Total Annual Costs (hourly wage x total burden hours)
Currently Approved Totals	x	x	1,264	1,264	17	21,488	x	\$854,464
Public sector	N/A	N/A	938	938	17	15,946	Project Director = \$42/hour	\$634,088
Private sector	N/A	N/A	339	339	17	5,763		\$229,164
Annualized Totals	x	x	1,277	1,277	17	21,709	Support staff = \$23/hour	\$863,252
Difference from Currently Approved	x	x	13	13	x	221	x	\$8,788

Please ensure the annual total burden, respondents and response match those entered in IC Data Parts 1 and 2, and the response per respondent matches the Paperwork Burden Statement that must be included on all forms.

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)
 - The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.
 - If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden

estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.

- Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Cost :
 Total Annual Costs (O&M) : _____
 Total Annualized Costs Requested :

There are no other costs to the respondents associated with this information collection. Grantees are required by program regulations to collect and maintain this information. The costs to transmit the data electronically via the Web are customary and usual business practices.

14. **Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.**

The largest portion of the Government's cost is borne directly by the Department of Education in designing the report form, securing clearance of the form, and collecting, aggregating, and disseminating the information.

Annual contract cost for development of APR Web application, Web-based data collection, Help Desk support, data processing, and grantee PE reports.	\$116,340
Analyses of data and preparation of national statistical reports.	\$177,000
Professional staff to update report form and prepare clearance package clearing performance report form: \$62.40 per hour X 120 hours Overhead (est. at 50%): 120 times \$31.20	\$11,232
Professional staff to review and edit reports for dissemination: \$62.40 per hour X 160 hours Overhead (est. at 50%): 160 times \$31.20	\$14,976

Other Department staff to review and approve the request: \$59 per hour X 10 hours Overhead costs (est. at 50%) 10 times \$29.50	\$885
OMB review (estimated): \$59 per hour X 8 hours Overhead costs (est. at 50%) 8 times \$29.50	\$708
TOTAL FEDERAL COST	\$321,141

7/22/25:

No changes.

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments. Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency's control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally are result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and/or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).

Provide a descriptive narrative for the reasons of any change in addition to completing the table with the burden hour change(s) here.

	Program Change Due to New Statute	Program Change Due to Agency Discretion	Change Due to Adjustment in Agency Estimate
Total Burden			0
Total Responses			0
Total Costs (if applicable)			

TRIO's previous IC submission for UB-UBMS stated that the burden per response was seventeen (17) hours. Seventeen hours per response remains sufficient for this non-substantive change.

No additional burden is entailed in connection with the proposed changes.

7/22/25:

	Program Change Due to New Statute	Program Change Due to Agency Discretion	Change Due to Adjustment in Agency Estimate
Total Burden			221
Total Responses			13

Total Costs (if applicable)			
------------------------------------	--	--	--

There is a total burden hour increase of 221 hours. This is due to an increase in the number of respondents, which increased from 1,264 to 1,277.

- 16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.**

TRIO collected information annually to determine if grantees are meeting their approved goals and objectives, and to determine which projects should earn prior experience points. Performance measures and efficiency measures for the UB-UBMS programs, based on data conveyed in grantees' annual performance reports, are disseminated in the Department's Annual Program Performance Plan. In addition, the Department's web site will provide data on performance and efficiency measures; this more detailed reporting has been made possible due to improvements in accuracy resulting from incorporating the standard objectives into the report form.

- 17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.**

This report form and the Web site will display the expiration date for OMB's approval of the information collection.

- 18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.**

There are no exceptions to the certification statement.