	SMALL STARTS PROJECT DESCRIPTION TEMPLATE					
PROJECT NAME:		Enter your official name for the project				
	Participating Agencies	as you would like it reflected in the				
Lead Agency	Name	Annual Report on Funding Recommendations				
	Contact Person	Recommendations				
	Address					
	Telephone Number	•				
	Fax Number					
	Email					
Metropolitan Planning	Name					
Organization	Contact Person					
	Address					
	Telephone Number					
	Fax Number					
	Email					
Transit Agency	Name					
	Contact Person					
	Address					
	Telephone Number					
	Fax Number					
	Email					
State Department of	Name					
Transportation	Contact Person					
	Address					
	Telephone Number					
	Fax Number					
	Email					
Other Relevant Agencies	Name					
	Contact Person					
	Address					
	Telephone Number					
	Fax Number					
	Email					
Other Relevant Agencies	Name					
	Contact Person					
	Address					
	Telephone Number					
	Fax Number					
	Email					
Other Relevant Agencies	Name					
	Contact Person					
	Address					
	Telephone Number					
	Fax Number					
	Email					

	SMALL STARTS PROJECT DESCRI	PTION TEMPLATE (Page 2)			
Project Definition	Length (miles)	Count paired inbound/outbound			
	Mode/Technology		boarding platforms as one station (do		
	Number of Stations	not report the total number of boarding			
	List each station separately, including the number of		platforms)		
	park and ride spaces at each and whether structured				
	or surface parking		Include park and ride spaces that are		
			part of this project. Do not include		
			existing park and ride spaces.		
			Insert additional rows if necessary		
			1		
	List each station with major transfer facilities to other				
	modes				
			Insert additional rows if necessary		
	Number of vehicles/rolling stock				
Type of Alignment by Segment (Number of Miles)					
(Number of Miles)	Below grade				
	At grade				
	Exclusive				
Cartery of Freinting Binks (194)	Mixed Traffic				
Status of Existing Right of Way	Ownership – who owns the right of way?				
	Current Use: active freight or passenger service?				

SMALL STARTS PROJECT DESCRIPTION TEMPLATE (Page 3)									
Seeking Use of Project Justification	on Warrants?	(Sele	ct)						
Project Planning Dates									
Current Year	Opening Year	Horizon	Exact Horizon Year (e.g., 2045)						
	Spanning 11	(Select)							
Capital Cost Estimate	2025 constant dollars	\$	-						
	Year of Expenditure	\$	- 1						
Levels of Service	Headways	Opening Year	Value linked from Finance Template						
	Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak		Value linked from Finance Template						
	Weekday On-peak Weekday Evening		value linked from Finance Template						
	Weekend								
	Hours of Service	Opening Year	Horizon Year						
	Weekday	Opening real	Horizon Teal						
	Weekady Weekend		Example: 6:00 am to 9:00 pm						
Type of Model Used for Travel For		(Sele							
Fare Policy Assumptions Used in		(56)6	o <i>)</i>						
	elated to Design, Construction, Operation and								
Maintenance of the Project	erated to Design, Construction, Operation and								
Project Planning and Development Schedule		Project Schedule							
Development Schedule	Insert anticipated or actual date Anticipated NEPA Class of Action (Select)								
		(Select)							
		LPA selected							
	LPA included in the finar								
		d SSGA/Construction Grant Award							
		Duration (enter start and end dates)							
		Initiation of Revenue Service							
Project Management									
Project Manager	Name								
, ,	Address								
	Phone								
	Fax								
	Email								
Agency CEO Name									
	Address								
	Phone								
	Fax								
	Email								
Key Agency Staff:	Name								
Overall Small Starts Criteria	71441000								
	Phone								
	Fax								
	Email								

^[1] Please provide a narrative summarizing fare policy assumptions used for all regional transit services. Include this summary as an attachment.

SMALL S	STARTS PROJECT DESCRIPTION TEMPLATE (Pag	je 4)
Key Agency Staff:	Name	•
Ridership Forecasts	Address	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
Var. Amanan Chaff.	Name	
Key Agency Staff:		
Cost Estimates	Address	
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
Key Agency Staff:	Name	
Environmental	Address	
Documentation	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
Key Agency Staff:	Name	
Land Use Assessment	Address	
2007100000	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
V		
Key Agency Staff:	Name	
Financial Assessment	Address	
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
Key Agency Staff:	Name	
Project Maps	Address	
7,11	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
ntractors	Linui	
	News	
Current Prime Contractor	Name	
	Address	
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
Prime Contractor: Project	Name	
Manager	Address	
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
Contractor Responsible for	Name	
Travel Forecasts	Address	
Tiuvei i orecusts		
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	
Contractor Responsible for	Name	
Capital Cost Estimates	Address	
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	

	SMALL STARTS TRAVEL FORECASTS TEMPLATE
PROJECT NAME:	

	Trips on the Project													
			Daily lin	ked trips		Annual linked trips (daily trips * annualization factor)								
Line	Transit market	Trips made by:	Current Year ()	Horizon ()	Annuali-zation factor	Current Year ()	Horizon ()	Brief description of the process used to develop travel forecasts (e.g., local model, FTA simplified national model, incremental data- driven method, direct demand model)						
1a 1b	Modeled trips: home-based work (HBW)	Non-transit dependents Transit dependents				0	-	(Linked from Type of Model Used for Travel Forecasts field of Project Description Template)						
2a	Modeled trips: all other trip	Non-transit dependents			0	0	-	(Linked from Type of Model Used for Travel Forecasts field of Project Description Template)						
2b 3a	purposes	Transit dependents Non-transit dependents				0	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
3b 4a	-Special market 1 (specify)	Transit dependents Non-transit dependents				0	-							
4b	Special market 2 (specify)	Transit dependents				0								
5a 5b	Special market 3 (specify)	Non-transit dependents Transit dependents				0								
6a 6b	-Special market 4 (specify)	Non-transit dependents Transit dependents				0	-							
7a	-Subtotal (lines 1 through 6)	Non-transit dependents				0	-							
	7b Transit dependents					0	-							
8a	7b)					0	•							
8b	Total daily linked trips without special markets (lines 1a through 2b)		0	-										
9	9 New transit trips													

	Vehicle-Miles of Travel (VMT)											
Daily VMT				(for a for t		al VMT daily VMT * annualization lans for each mode/techn	factor; ology)		change No-build VMT)			
		Curren	Year ()	Horiz	zon ()	Annuali-zation	Curren	t Year ()	Hori	zon ()		
Line	Mode / Technology	No-build	Build	No-build	Build	factor	No-build	Build	No-build	Build	Current Year ()	Horizon ()
10	Automobile					0	0	0	-		0	-
11	Diesel bus										0	-
12	Hybrid bus										0	-
13	CNG bus										0	-
14	Electric bus										0	-
15	Heavy rail [1]										0	-
16	Light rail / streetcar [1]										0	-
17	Commuter rail (new diesel locomotive or DMU) [1]										0	-
18	Commuter rail (used diesel locomotive) [1]										0	-
19	Commuter rail (electric or EMU) [1]										0	-

^[1] For rail transit modes, report VMT in terms of total rail passenger car mileage, not train mileage. (As an illustration of the difference, the rail passenger car mileage for a commuter rail or heavy rail train with six passenger cars would be six times the train mileage.)

SMALL STARTS MOBILITY, COST-EFFECTIVENESS, AND CONGESTION RELIEF TEMPLATE

	Cost Effectiveness						
		Value	es .	Source/Calculation			
Line	Item	Current Year ()	Horizon ()				
3	Annualized Federal share of project capital cost (constant 2025 dollars)		-	Source: SCC Build Annualized worksheet			
4	Annual linked trips on the project	0	-	Travel Forecasts Template, Line 8a			
5	Annualized Federal share of the project per annual linked trip on the project	\$0.00	-	Line 6 / Line 5			
6	Value used in rating	-		If a 10- or 20-year horizon is used: 50 percent * Line 7 current year value + 50 percent * Line 7 horizon year value If no horizon year is used: Line 7 current year value			

	Congestion Relief							
		Value	es					
Line	ltem	Current Year ()	Horizon ()	Source/Calculation				
7	New Weekday Linked Transit Trips	0	-	Travel Forecasts Template, Line 9				
8	Value used in rating	-		If a 10- or 20-year horizon is used: 50 percent * Line 7 current year value + 50 percent * Line 7 horizon year value If no horizon year is used: Line 7 current year value				

SMALL STARTS I	AND USE TEMPLATE	
PROJECT NAME:		
SMALL STARTS LAND USE MEASU	RES AND CRITERION RATING RES	BULTS
Average Existing Population Density (persons per square mile) Across All Station Areas	Current Year () Values	Source/Ci
1 Population	0	Sum of Current Year Population in all
2 Land Area (square miles)	0.0	Sum of Land Area in all Station Areas
Value Used in Criterion Rating	0	Line 1 / Line 2
Total Existing Employment Served by the Project	Current Year () Values	Source/Ca
4 Employment at New Project Stations	0	Sum of Current Year Employment in a
5 Employment at Existing Stations Along the Line [see footnote 1] 6 Value Used in Criterion Rating	0	Input by project sponsor Line 4 + Line 5
Value Osed in Criterion Rating	8	Line 4 + Line 5
e Legally Binding Affordability Restricted (LBAR) Housing Unit Ratio	Current Year () Values	Source/Ca
Total LBAR Housing Units in All Station Areas	Current rear () values	Source/Ca
7 Housing Units - All Types	0	Sum of Current Year Housing Units
8 Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	0	Sum of Current Year Housing Units - I
Proportion in All Station Areas	0.00%	Line 8 / Line 7
Total LBAR Housing Units in All Counties in which Project Stations are Located		
O Hausing Haite All Turce	0	Sum of Current Veer House - 11-11-
0 Housing Units - All Types	0	Sum of Current Year Housing Units - A
.1 Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	0	Sum of Current Year Housing Units - I
2 Proportion in All Counties in which Project Stations are Located	0.00%	Line 11 / Line 10
Value Used in Criterion Rating	0.00	Line 9 / Line 12
Initial Measure Rating		Corresponding rating for value in Line
5 LBAR Housing Unit Boost to Initial Measure Rating		If Line 12 is greater than 5.0 percent, level for use in criterion rating.
e Community Risk	Current Year () Values	Source/Ca
6 Year of Community Resilience Estimates (CRE) Dataset [see footnote 2]		Input by project sponsor
17 High-Risk CRE Population	0	Sum of Current Year High-Risk CRE I
18 CRE Population	0	Sum of Current Year High-Risk CRE I
18 CRE Population 19 Value Used in Criterion Rating	0.00%	Line 17 / Line 18
.o Fado occumonanting	0.0070	Eme 17 / Eme 10
ne Essential Services	Current Year () Values	Source/Ca
20 Number of essential services (one-mile radius) 21 Number of stations [see footnote 3]	0	Sum of Current Year Number of Esser Input by project sponsor
21 Value Used in Criterion Rating		Line 20 / Line 21
22 Yande Osed in Onterior (Adding		Line 20 / Line 21
Land Use Criterion Rating		Average of the five land use measure

NEW STARTS LAND USE TEMPLATE (QUANTITATIVE DATA) page 2

Housing Units for Each County in which Project Stations are Lo	cated
	Current Year () Values
County 1 [see footnote 4] Cour	nty Name:
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
County 2 Cour	nty Name:
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
County 3 Cour	nty Name:
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
	•
County 4 Cour	nty Name:
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
County 5 Cour	nty Name:
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	

Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
Develois Turnis mont Hereine Unite Community Disk and Federal Comises for Feels Chaire Area M	est in Dout of the Dropound Dropout
Population, Employment, Housing Units, Community Risk, and Essential Services for Each Station Area th	nat is Part of the Proposed Project
	Current Year () Values
	-
Station Area 1 [see footnote 5] Station Nan	ne:
Population	
Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Essential Services	
Station Area 2 Station Nan	ne·
Population	
Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Station Area 3 Station Nan	ne:
Population	
Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Station Area 4 Station Nan	
	ne:
Population Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Station Area 5 Station Nan	ne:
Population	
Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Station Area 6 Station Nan	ne:
Population	
Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Logoritus octivides	
Station Area 7 Station Nan	ne-
Population Station Nati	iic.
Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population	
Essential Services	

NEW STARTS LAND USE TEMPLATE (QUANTITATIVE DATA) ;	page 3
	Current Year () Values
Classian Avan D	
Station Area 8 Station Name: Population	
Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types Housing Units - Legally Rinding Affordability Restricted	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Olufon Anna O	
Station Area 9 Station Name: Population	
Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Station Area 10 Station Name:	
Population Station Name.	
Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Rectricted	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Station Area 11 Station Name:	
Population Station Name:	
Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Station Area 12 Station Name:	
Population Station Haine.	
Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Station Area 13 Station Name:	
Population	
Employment	
Land Area (square miles) Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population Essential Services	
ESSCIIII SCIVICES	
Station Area 14 Station Name:	
Population	
Employment Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population Essential Services	
Station Area 15 Station Name:	
Population Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted	
High-Risk CRE Population CRE Population	
Essential Services	
Station Area 16 Station Name: Population	
Employment Employment	
Land Area (square miles)	
Housing Units - All Types	
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted High-Risk CRE Population	
CRE Population	
Essential Services	

NEW STARTS LAND USE TEMPL		
	Current Year () V	alues
Station Area 17	Station Name:	
Population		
Employment		
Land Area (square miles)		
Housing Units - All Types		
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted		
High-Risk CRE Population		
CRE Population		
Essential Services		
Station Area 18	Station Name:	
Population		
Employment		
Land Area (square miles)		
Housing Units - All Types		
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted		
High-Risk CRE Population		
CRE Population		
Essential Services		
Station Area 19	Station Name:	
Population		
Employment		
Land Area (square miles)		
Housing Units - All Types		
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted		
High-Risk CRE Population		
CRE Population		
Essential Services		
Station Area 20	Station Name:	
Population		
Employment		
Land Area (square miles)		
Housing Units - All Types		
Housing Units - Legally Binding Affordability Restricted		
High-Risk CRE Population		
CRE Population		
Essential Services		

[1] This information should be entered only for projects that are extensions to existing lines. Provide the total employment served within a half-mile radius of the existing stations along the entire line on which a no-transfer ride from the proposed project's stations can be reached. Do not include employment within a half-mile radius of the new stations.

[2] High-Risk CRE Population and CRE Population can be calculated using the latest CRE dataset available at the U.S. Census Bureau's CRE website (https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/community-resilience-estimates/data/datasets.html). Input the year of the CRE dataset used for these calculations. [3] Input the total number of station areas evaluated for land use rating, i.e., break up any station area groupings.

[4] Countywide housing unit totals are available from the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey website (http://www.census.gov/acs/).

[5] Reporting of data by individual station area is required. See Appendices D-F of the Reporting Instructions for a sample methodology for estimating station area population, employment, housing units, community risk, and essential services.

alculation
Station Areas

alculation
Ill Station Areas

alculation

All Types in all Station Areas

LBAR in all Station Areas

LBAR in all Counties

LBAR in all Counties

LBAR in all Counties

All Types in all Counties

LBAR in all Station Areas

alculation

responding in all Station Areas

alculation

ntial Services in all Station Areas

ratings.

	SMALL STAR	RTS ENVIRONMENTAL BENE	FITS TEMPLATE	
	PROJECT NAME:			
	*** To view Environmental Benefits results, specify the horizon year option	n in the Project Description Template a	nd the regional air quality atta	inment status for each criteria pollutant below ***
		Attainment Status		
Line	ltem	Values		Source/Calculation
1	Regional air quality attainment status, carbon monoxide (CO)	(Select.	.)	
	Regional air quality attainment status, nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂)	(Select.	.)	Source: EPA Green Book
	Regional air quality attainment status, ozone (O ₃) (2008 8-hour standard)	(Select.		Source. LFA Green Book
4	Regional air quality attainment status, particulate matter (PM _{2,8}) (2006 standard)	(Select.	.)	
	ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFI			
Line		Values		Source/Calculation
Α	Existing Annual Transit Ridership in the Corridor Today			Input by project sponsor
В	Percentage Change in Corridor Annual Transit Vehicle Hours That Would Result from Implementation of the Proposed Project			Input by project sponsor
С	Elasticity Factor	0.5		TCRP Report 95, Traveler Response to Transportation System Changes: Transit Scheduling and Frequency (2004)
D	Estimated Increase in Annual Project Ridership	0		Line A * Line B * Line C
E	Average share of transit users that previously drove	20%		Factor based on data from past projects in the CIG program
F	Estimated new transit ridership coming from autos	0		Line D * Line E
G	Average auto occupany factor	1.15		Nation-wide average for work trips from the 2009 National Household Travel Survey
Н	Estimated decrease (increase) in auto trips	0		Line F / Line G
ı	Project Length	0.0		From Project Description Template
J	Average trip length factor	50%		Factor based on data from past projects in the CIG program
K	Estimated decrease (increase) in Annual Auto Vehicle Miles Travelled	0		Line H*Line I * Line J Becomes input to tables below
				Delow
		Summary Results		
		Current Year ()	Horizon ()	
	Value of environmental benefits	-		Sum of lines 19, 30, 41, 52, 63, 74, 85 and 96 for current and applicable (if any) horizon year
	Annualized Federal share of project	-	-	Mobility and Cost Effectiveness Template, Line 3
7	Ratio of environmental benefits to annualized Federal share of project	-	-	Line 5 / Line 6

8 Value used in rating

If a 10- or 20-year horizon is being used: 50 percent * Line 7 current year value + 50 percent * Line 7 horizon year value
If no horizon year is being used: Line 7 current year value

SMALL STARTS ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS TEMPLATE (page 2)

VALUE OF BENEFITS BY FACTOR

							Air Quality: 0	Carbon Monoxide (CO)							
				Current Yea	ır			Н	orizon - 10 Ye	ars			Ho	rizon - 20 Yea	rs	
Line	Mode	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]
9	Automobile	0	0.00334	0.00	-	•		0.00198		-	-		0.00120		-	-
10	Diesel Bus	0	0.00218	0.00	-	-		0.00189		-	-		0.00177		-	
11	Hybrid Bus	0	0.00218	0.00	-	-		0.00189		-	-		0.00177		-	
12	CNG Bus	0	0.02496	0.00	-	-		0.03141		-	-		0.03292		-	
13	Electric Bus	0	0.00040	0.00	-	-		0.00027		-	-		0.00024		-	
14	Heavy Rail	0	0.00001	0.00	-	-		0.00001		-	-		0.00001		-	
	Light Rail / Streetcar	0	0.00003	0.00	-	-		0.00003		-	-		0.00002		-	
16	Commuter Rail - New diesel locomotive or DMU	0	0.02026	0.00	-	-		0.02026		-	-		0.02026		-	-
17	Commuter Rail - Used diesel locomotive	0	0.02026	0.00	-			0.02026		-	-		0.02026		-	-
18	Commuter Rail - Electric or EMU	0	0.00003	0.00	-			0.00002		-	•		0.00002		-	-
19	TOTAL CHANGE	0		0.00		\$0.00										

						^	ir Quality: Mar	no-Nitrogen Oxide	c (NO)							
							u Quality. Wo		- x-							
				Current Yea	ar .			Н	orizon - 10 Ye	ars			Ho	rizon - 20 Yea	rs	
Line	e Mode	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]
20	Automobile	0	0.00022	0.00	-	-		0.00005		-	-		0.00001		-	
21	Diesel Bus	0	0.00378	0.00	-	-		0.00280		-	-		0.00242		-	
22	Hybrid Bus	0	0.00378	0.00	-	-		0.00280		-	-		0.00242		-	
23	CNG Bus	0	0.00205	0.00	-	-		0.00112		-	-		0.00075		-	-
24	Electric Bus	0	0.00072	0.00	-	-		0.00048		-	-		0.00040		-	
25	Heavy Rail	0	0.00001	0.00	-	-		0.00001		-	-		0.00001		-	
26	Light Rail / Streetcar	0	0.00005	0.00	-	-		0.00004		-	-		0.00004		-	
27	Commuter Rail - New diesel locomotive or DMU	0	0.01583	0.00	-	-		0.01583		-	-		0.01583		-	
28	Commuter Rail - Used diesel locomotive	0	0.09962	0.00	-	-		0.09962		-	•		0.09962		-	•
29	Commuter Rail - Electric or EMU	0	0.00005	0.00	-	-		0.00004		-	-		0.00004		-	
30	TOTAL CHANGE	0		0.00		\$0.00										

SMALL STARTS ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS TEMPLATE (page 3)

						Air Q	uality: Volatile	Organic Compou	nds (VOCs)							
				Current Yea	ır			. Н	lorizon - 10 Ye	ars			Ho	rizon - 20 Yea	rs	
Line	Mode	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]
31	Automobile	0	0.00005	0.00	-	-		0.00001		-	-		0.00001		-	-
32	Diesel Bus	0	0.00018	0.00	-	-		0.00007		-	-		0.00003		-	•
33	Hybrid Bus	0	0.00018	0.00	-	-		0.00007		-	-		0.00003		-	-
34	CNG Bus	0	0.00073	0.00	-	-		0.00076		-	-		0.00076		-	-
35	Electric Bus	0	0.00011	0.00	-	-		0.00008		-			0.00007		-	-
36	Heavy Rail	0	0.000002	0.00	-	-		0.00000		-			0.00000		-	-
37	Light Rail / Streetcar	0	0.00001	0.00	-	-		0.00001		-			0.00001		-	-
38	Commuter Rail - New diesel locomotive or DMU	0	0.00060	0.00	-	-		0.00060	-	-			0.00060		-	-
39	Commuter Rail - Used diesel locomotive	0	0.00442	0.00	-	-		0.00442		-	•		0.00442		-	-
40	Commuter Rail - Electric or EMU	0	0.00001	0.00	-	-		0.00001		-	-		0.00001		-	-
41	TOTAL CHANGE	0		0.00		\$0.00		-	-			-				

							Air Quality: P	articulate Matter (I	PM _{2.5})							
				Current Yea	ır			Н	orizon - 10 Ye	ears			Ho	rizon - 20 Yea	rs	
Line	Mode	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (kg) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (kg)	Monetization Factor (\$ / kg)	Value of Improvement [1]
42	Automobile	0	0.000009	0.00	-	•		0.000007		-			0.00006		-	
43	Diesel Bus	0	0.000064	0.00	-	-		0.000031		-			0.000021		-	•
44	Hybrid Bus	0	0.000064	0.00	-	-		0.000031		-	-		0.000021		-	-
45	CNG Bus	0	0.000035	0.00	-	-		0.000027		-	-		0.000024		-	-
46	Electric Bus	0	0.000071	0.00	-	-		0.000050		-	-		0.000044		-	-
47	Heavy Rail	0	0.000001	0.00	-	-		0.000001		-	-		0.000001		-	•
48	Light Rail / Streetcar	0	0.000005	0.00	-	-		0.000004		-	-		0.000003		-	
	Commuter Rail - New diesel locomotive or DMU	0	0.000240	0.00	-	-		0.000240		-	-		0.000240		-	-
50	Commuter Rail - Used diesel locomotive	0	0.003120	0.00	-	-		0.003120		-	-		0.003120		-	-
51	Commuter Rail - Electric or EMU	0	0.000004	0.00	-	-		0.000004		-	-		0.000003		-	-
52	TOTAL CHANGE	0		0.00		\$0.00							-			

SMALL STARTS ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS TEMPLATE (page 4)

						Greenho	use Gases (Ca	arbon Dioxide Equ	ivalent [CO₂e]	D						
				Current Yea	ır			Н	orizon - 10 Ye	ears			Ho	rizon - 20 Yea	rs	
Line	Mode	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (ton) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (tons)	Monetization Factor (\$ / ton)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (ton) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (tons)	Monetization Factor (\$ / ton)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Emissions (ton) / VMT	Emissions Decrease (Increase) (tons)	Monetization Factor (\$ / ton)	Value of Improvement [1]
53	Automobile	0	0.000500	0.00	\$51.00	-		0.000371		\$51.00			0.000319		\$51.00	-
54	Diesel Bus	0	0.002647	0.00	\$51.00	-		0.002555		\$51.00			0.002476		\$51.00	-
55	Hybrid Bus	0	0.002118	0.00	\$51.00	-		0.002044		\$51.00	-		0.001980		\$51.00	-
56	CNG Bus	0	0.003174	0.00	\$51.00	-		0.003079		\$51.00	-		0.002986		\$51.00	-
57	Electric Bus	0	0.002664	0.00	\$51.00	-		0.001999		\$51.00	-		0.001775		\$51.00	-
58	Heavy Rail	0	0.002176	0.00	\$51.00	-		0.001632		\$51.00	-		0.001449		\$51.00	-
59	Light Rail / Streetcar	0	0.003243	0.00	\$51.00	-		0.002433		\$51.00	-		0.002160		\$51.00	-
	Commuter Rail - New diesel locomotive or DMU	0	0.007310	0.00	\$51.00	-		0.007384		\$51.00			0.007384		\$51.00	-
61	Commuter Rail - Used diesel locomotive	0	0.007310	0.00	\$51.00	-		0.007384		\$51.00	-		0.007384		\$51.00	-
62	Commuter Rail - Electric or EMU	0	0.003582	0.00	\$51.00	-		0.002687		\$51.00	-		0.002386		\$51.00	-
63	TOTAL CHANGE	0		0.00		\$0.00			-							

_																
						E	nergy Use (Br	itish Thermal Unit	s [Btu])							
				Current Yea	ır			H	lorizon - 10 Ye	ars			Ho	orizon - 20 Yea	rs	
Line	e Mode	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Energy Use (million Btu) / VMT	Energy Use Decrease (Increase) (million Btu)	Monetization Factor (\$ / million Btu)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Energy Use (million Btu) / VMT		Monetization Factor (\$ / million Btu)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Energy Use (million Btu) / VMT	Energy Use Decrease (Increase) (million Btu)	Monetization Factor (\$ / million Btu)	Value of Improvement [1]
64	Automobile	0	0.006738	0.00	\$0.29	-		0.005007		\$0.29	-		0.004303		\$0.29	-
65	Diesel Bus	0	0.034002	0.00	\$0.26			0.032815		\$0.26	-		0.031800		\$0.26	
66	Hybrid Bus	0	0.027202	0.00	\$0.26	-		0.026252		\$0.26	-		0.025440		\$0.26	
67	CNG Bus															
68	Electric Bus															
69	Heavy Rail															
70	Light Rail / Streetcar															
71	Commuter Rail - New diesel locomotive or DMU	0	0.093906	0.00	\$0.26	-		0.094845		\$0.26	-		0.094845		\$0.26	
72	Commuter Rail - Used diesel locomotive	0	0.093906	0.00	\$0.26			0.094845		\$0.26	-		0.094845		\$0.26	
73	Commuter Rail - Electric or EMU															
74	TOTAL CHANGE	0		0.00		\$0.00										

SMALL STARTS ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS TEMPLATE (page 5)

							Safe	ety: Fatalities								·
				Current Yea	r			Н	orizon - 10 Ye	ars			Ho	orizon - 20 Yea	rs	
Line	Mode	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Fatalities / VMT	Fatality Decrease (Increase)	Monetization Factor (\$ / fatality)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Fatalities / VMT	Fatality Decrease (Increase)	Monetization Factor (\$ / fatality)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Fatalities / VMT	Fatality Decrease (Increase)	Monetization Factor (\$ / fatality)	Value of Improvement [1]
75	Automobile	0	0.00000011	0.00	\$12,500,000	-		0.00000011		\$12,500,000	-		0.00000011		\$12,500,000	-
76	Diesel Bus	0	0.000000005	0.00	\$12,500,000	-		0.000000005		\$12,500,000	-		0.000000005		\$12,500,000	-
77	Hybrid Bus	0	0.000000005	0.00	\$12,500,000	-		0.000000005		\$12,500,000	-		0.000000005		\$12,500,000	-
78	CNG Bus	0	0.000000005	0.00	\$12,500,000	-		0.000000005		\$12,500,000	-		0.000000005		\$12,500,000	-
79	Electric Bus	0	0.000000005	0.00	\$12,500,000	-		0.000000005		\$12,500,000	-		0.000000005		\$12,500,000	-
80	Heavy Rail	0	0.000000004	0.00	\$12,500,000	-		0.000000004		\$12,500,000	-		0.00000004		\$12,500,000	-
	Light Rail / Streetcar	0	0.00000013	0.00	\$12,500,000	-		0.00000013		\$12,500,000	-		0.00000013		\$12,500,000	-
	Commuter Rail - New diesel locomotive or DMU	0	0.00000015	0.00	\$12,500,000	-		0.00000015		\$12,500,000	-		0.00000015		\$12,500,000	-
83	Commuter Rail - Used diesel locomotive	0	0.00000015	0.00	\$12,500,000	•		0.00000015		\$12,500,000	-		0.000000015		\$12,500,000	-
84	Commuter Rail - Electric or EMU	0	0.00000015	0.00	\$12,500,000	-		0.00000015		\$12,500,000	-		0.00000015		\$12,500,000	-
85	TOTAL CHANGE	0		0.00		\$0.00										

							Sa	fety: Injuries								
				Current Yea	r			ŀ	Horizon - 10 Ye	ars			He	orizon - 20 Year	s	
Line	Mode	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Injuries / VMT	Injury Decrease (Increase)	Monetization Factor (\$ / injury)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Injuries / VMT	Injury Decrease (Increase)	Monetization Factor (\$ / injury)	Value of Improvement [1]	VMT Decrease (Increase)	Conversion Factor: Injuries / VMT	Injury Decrease (Increase)	Monetization Factor (\$ / injury)	Value of Improvement [1]
86	Automobile	0	0.000000821	0.00	\$554,800	-		0.000000821		\$554,800	-		0.000000821		\$554,800	-
87	Diesel Bus	0	0.000000716	0.00	\$554,800	-		0.000000716		\$554,800	-		0.000000716		\$554,800	-
88	Hybrid Bus	0	0.000000716	0.00	\$554,800	-		0.000000716		\$554,800	-		0.000000716		\$554,800	-
89	CNG Bus	0	0.000000716	0.00	\$554,800	-		0.000000716		\$554,800	-		0.000000716		\$554,800	-
90	Electric Bus	0	0.000000716	0.00	\$554,800	-		0.000000716		\$554,800	-		0.000000716		\$554,800	-
91	Heavy Rail	0	0.000000350	0.00	\$554,800	-		0.000000350		\$554,800	-		0.000000350		\$554,800	-
92	Light Rail / Streetcar	0	0.000000441	0.00	\$554,800	-		0.000000441		\$554,800	-		0.000000441		\$554,800	-
93	Commuter Rail - New diesel locomotive or DMU	0	0.000000069	0.00	\$554,800	-		0.000000069		\$554,800	-		0.000000069		\$554,800	-
94	Commuter Rail - Used diesel locomotive	0	0.000000069	0.00	\$554,800	-		0.000000069		\$554,800	-		0.000000069		\$554,800	-
95	Commuter Rail - Electric or EMU	0	0.000000069	0.00	\$554,800	-		0.000000069		\$554,800	-		0.000000069		\$554,800	-
96	TOTAL CHANGE	0		0.00		\$0.00										

^[1] Value will be positive for decreases and negative for increases.

	SMALL STARTS F	FINANCE TEMPLATE		
PROJECT NAME:				
Total Capital Cost of Project in Constant 2025 Dollars (from the SCC Main Worksheet)		Total Sapital Cost of Project in YOE dollars (including finance sharges, costs of Projec construction): (from SCC Main-Worksheet)	s ct Development and	
CIG Funding Anticipated (YOE \$):		FTA CIG Share of Project Cost:		0.0%
Estimated Cost of Project Development (YOE \$):				
Total Finance Charges Included in Capital Cost (include finance charges that are expected prio in time): (from SCC Main Worksheet)	mitment, whichever is later	\$0		
Other Federal Capital Funding Sources				
Other Federal Capital Funding Sources (Non-CIG Funds such as FTA Section 5307, Surface Transportation Program (STP), Congestion etc.)	n Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ),	Type of Funds	Dollar Amount (YOE)	% of Total Capital Cost
1. (Example: CMAQ)				0.0%
2.				0.0%
3.				0.0%
4.				0.0%
State Capital Funding Sources (Funds provided by state agencies or legislatures such as bonds, dedicated sales tax, annual le transportation trust funds, etc.)	egislative appropriation,	Type of Funds	Dollar Amount (YOE)	% of Total Capital Cost
1. (Example: State Transportation Fund)				0.0%
2.				0.0%
3.				0.0%
4.				0.0%
5.				0.0%
6				0.0%
Local Capital Funding Sources (Municipal, City, County, Township, or Regional funding such as bonds, sales tax, legislative a funds, etc.)	ppropriation, transportation trust	Type of Funds	Dollar Amount (YOE)	% of Total Capital Cost
1.				0.0%
2.				0.0%
3.				0.0%
4.				0.0%
5.				0.0%
6.				0.0%
7.				0.0%
8.				0.0%
9.				0.0%
10.				0.0%
11.				0.0%
12.				0.0%

Private Sector/In-kind match/Other (Donations of right-of-way, construction of stations or parking, or funding for the project from a non-governmental entity, business, or business assoc.)	Type of Funds	Dollar Amount (YOE)	% of Total Capital Cost
1.			0.0%
2.			0.0%
3.			0.0%
4.			0.0%
5.			0.0%
TOTAL NON-CIG FUNDING (YOE dollars)	\$0	0.0%	
QA/QC CHECK: TOTAL CAPITAL COSTS LESS CIG FUNDING LESS NON-CIG FUNDING (SHOULD EQUAL \$0)		\$0	

SMALL STARTS FINANCE TEMPLATE (Section 2)						
Small Starts Project Financial Commitment						
Other Federal Sources (Linked from Section 1)	Are the funds obligated in an existing grant?	Are the funds programmed in the current TIP/STIP?	If funds are beyond the current TIP/STIP period, are they programmed to the project via MPO Board resolution or other official action?	For discretionary or competitive grant funds, has the selection been announced or funds allocated?		
1. (Example: CMAQ)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
2.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
3.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
4.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
State Sources (Linked from Section 1)	Are the funds authorized by existing state law?	Do the funds require annual/biennial appropriation by state legislature?	Do the funds require approval via competitive or discretionary state grant process?	Are the funds allocated by formula?		
1. (Example: State Transportation Fund)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
2.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
3.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
4.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
5.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
6	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
Local Sources (Linked from Section 1)	Are the funds authorized by existing state/local law?	Are the funds approved for the project in a Board-approved Capital Improvement Program, budget, or resolution?	Are the funds committed to the project by a signed, final and completed third-party agreement?	Are the funds contingent on a voter referendum?		
1.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
2.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
3.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
4.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
5.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
6.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
7.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
8.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
9.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
10.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
11.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		
12.	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)	(Select)		

Private Sector/In-kind Match/Other (Linked from Section 1)	Are the funds committed to the project by a signed, final, and completed third-party agreement?	If in-kind contribution, has the value been approved by FTA per requirements of FTA Circular 5010?	
1.	(Select)	(Select)	
2.	(Select)	(Select)	
3.	(Select)	(Select)	
4.	(Select)	(Select)	
5.	(Select)	(Select)	

	SMALL STARTS FINANCE TEMPLATE (Section 3)						
Small Starts Project Financial Commitment		,					
Other Federal Sources (Linked from section 1)	Name of entity with ultimate programming authority for source of funds	Describe all remaining actions needed to make the funds available to the project	Identify and Describe Supporting Documentation Submitted to Verify Commitment Status of Funding Source				
1. (Example: CMAQ)			(Example: Relevant pages from TIP/STIP)				
2.							
4.							
State Sources (Linked from section 1)	Name of entity with ultimate programming authority for source of funds	Describe all remaining actions needed to make the funds available to the project	Identify and Describe Supporting Documentation Submitted to Verify Commitment Status of Funding Source				
1. (Example: State Transportation Fund)			(Example: Relevant pages of authorizing legislation with applicable sections identified, official allocation notice from State agency)				
2.							
4.							
5.							
0							
Local Sources (Linked from section 1)	Name of entity with ultimate programming authority for source of funds	Describe all remaining actions needed to make the funds available to the project	Identify and Describe Supporting Documentation Submitted to Verify Commitment Status of Funding Source				
1.			(Example: Relevant pages from Board-approved CIP; official Board resolution; final, complete third-party agreement with relevant sections/clauses identified)				
2.							
3.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
g. 9.							

10.			
11.			
12.			
Private Sector/In-kind Match/Other	Name of entity with ultimate	Describe all remaining actions needed to make the funds available to	Identify and Describe Supporting Documentation Submitted to
(Linked from section 1)	programming authority for source of funds		Verify Commitment Status of Funding Source
1.			(Example: Final, complete third-party agreement with relevant sections/clauses identified)
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

Reference Notes: The following categories and definitions are applied to funding sources:

Committed: Committed sources are programmed capital funds that <u>have all the necessary approvals</u> to be used to fund the proposed project <u>without any additional action</u>. These funds have all legislative and/or voter approvals needed, and been formally programmed in the MPO's TIP and/or any related local, regional, or state documents such as an approved annual budget or multi-year CIP. Examples include dedicated or approved tax revenues, state capital grants that have been approved by all required legislative bodies, cash reserves that have been dedicated to the proposed project, and debt capacity that requires no further approvals and has been dedicated to the proposed project.

Budgeted: This category is for funds that have been budgeted and/or programmed for use on the proposed project but are not yet fully committed, i.e., the funds have not yet received statutory approval. Examples included the been included in the state budget, but are still awaiting final legislative appropriations. These funds are almost certain to be committed in the near future. Funds will be classified as budgeted where available funding cannot be committed until the construction grant agreement is executed, or due to local practices outside of the project sponsor's control (e.g., the project development schedule extends beyond the TIP or CIP period).

Planned: This category is for funds that are identified and have a reasonable chance of being committed, but are neither committed nor budgeted. Examples include proposed sources that require a scheduled referendum, reasonable requests for state/local capital grants that are not yet approved, and proposed debt financing that has not yet been fully approved.

Uncertain: This category is applied when it is unclear from the agency's submission whether or not a funding source is committed, budgeted, or unavailable. Instances where the plan to secure committed funds is deemed to be unreasonable may be classified as uncertain. This category applies to funding sources that the project sponsor may describe as committed or budgeted but for which no supporting documentation is provided to FTA. Also, funding proposals that have repeatedly failed (more than once), such as failed local referendums or repeated denial of state grants, will be classified as uncertain.

Unspecified: This category is applied when the proposed non-CIG funding sources are not sufficient or have not been clearly identified.

	SMALL STARTS FINAN	ICE TEMPLATE (Section 4)			
Innovative Financing Methods					
(Unconventional funding/financing arrangements such as USDOT credit instruments (RRIF/TIFIA loan	s, PABs), State Infrastructure Banks, Pu	blic/Private partnerships, Toll Credits, Joint D	evelopment revenues, etc.)		
Innovative Funding Source	Anticipated Funding Amount (\$)	Name of entity with final approving authority	Describe all actions needed available to the		Identify and Describe Supporting Documentation Submitted
				For both the project ar	nd the transit
				system, provide the co full year of service (ev	en if this period
				extends into the next year).	iscal or calendar
			.1	·	
	Summary Information fro	m the Operating Finance Plan			
Small Starts Project Annual Operating Cost in the Opening Year (YOE\$):		Total Transit System (including Small Sta Cost in the Opening Year (YOE\$)	rts Project) Annual Operating		
Proposed Sources of Operating Funds (Proposed sources of operating funds that are anticipated to support operating expenses of the transit system including the Small Starts project in the opening year.)	Dollar Amount (\$)	Type of Funding Source	Committed, Budgeted or Planned	Specify Wheth	er New or Existing Funding Source
Farebox Revenues					***
(Example: State Revenue Source A)					
(Example: State Revenue Source B)					
(Example: State Revenue Source C)					
(Example: Local Revenue Source A)					
(Example: Local Revenue Source B)					
(Example: Local Revenue Source C)					
(Example: Private/Value Capture Funding Source)					
Total	\$0				
	Transit System Op	perating Characteristics			
Current Systemwide Characteristics (Can be the same data as reported to the FTA for the National Transit Database)	Number/Value	Future Transit System with Small Starts Project (Systemwide characteristics at completion of the Small Starts Project) Number/Value		Number/Value	
Farebox Recovery Percent		Farebox Recovery Percent			
Number of Buses		Number of Buses			
Number of Rail Vehicles		Number of Rail Vehicles			
Average Fare		Average Fare			
Average Age of Buses					
Average Age of Rail Vehicles					
Revenue Miles of Service Provided		Revenue Miles of Service			
Revenue Hours of Service Provided		Revenue Hours of Service			

SMALL S	TARTS RATING ESTIMATION
PROJECT NAME:	
He this tool to calculate your Small Starts assigned notation exceed earlier Enter a value from the draw down many is each of the vallow cells becard on the	ratings you anticipate #

		Proje	ct Justification			Local Fina
Criterion	Weight	Estimated Rating	Source/Calculation	Do	Do you anticipate that your project will qualify for the simplified financial assessment? (Sc Commitment section of the Small Starts portion of the CIG Policy Guidance for the qualify	
Mobility Improvements	16.66%			Co	ommitment section of the Small Starts portion of the CIG Policy Guidance for the qu	ualifying crite
Cost Effectiveness	16.66%		Mobility, Cost-Effectiveness, and Congestion Relief Template	Cri	iterion	Weight
Congestion Relief	16.66%				Current Financial Condition	25%
Environmental Benefits	16.66%		Environmental Benefits Template		Commitment of Capital and Operating Funds	25%
Land Use	16.66%		Land Use Template		Reasonableness of Financial Plan	50%
Economic Development	16.66%	-	Enter your estimations of these ratings. See FTA's Guidelines for Economic Development Effects for New Starts and Small Starts Projects on how FTA determines the ratings for these criteria.	CIO	G Share (Please complete the Finance Template)	-
Summary Rating			Ratings are assigned to each criterion on a five-point scale, with Low = 1, Medium-Low = 2, Medium = 3, Medium-High = 4, and High = 5. Individual criterion ratings are then weighted 16.66% each to develop the summary Project Justification rating.	Su	ummary Rating	

Estimated Overall Project Rating: (The Project Justification and Local Financial Commitment summary ratings are each weighted equally at 50%. However, both must be at least Medium to obtain a Medium or better overall rating.)

Complete all templates and the highlighted cells in this worksheet to see the estimated overall rating.

Link to CIG Program Guidance on the FTA Website

^{*}FTA is providing this tool solely to help project sponsors understand how their projects may rate. Any anticipated ratings entered into this spreadsheet will not inform the ratings that FTA assigns, and any ratings computed in assigning project ratings according to the evaluation and rating framework described in the Capital Investment Grants Policy Guidance.

ncial Commitment	
ocal Financial ria.)	·
Estimated Rating	Source/Calculation
-	Enter your estimations of these ratings. See the Local Financial Commitment section in the Small Starts chapter of the CIG Policy Guidance for information on how FTA rates these factors.
-	Finance Template
	Ratings are assigned to each subfactor on a five-point scale, with Low = 1, Medium-Low = 2, Medium = 3, Medium-High = 4, and High = 5. Medival = 3, Medium-High = 4, and High = 5. Medival = 3, and the very legible of a shown to develop the summary Local Financial Commitment rating. If the summary taring is a least Medium and the Small Starts share is less than 50%, the summary rating is increased one level. If the project qualifies for the simplified financial evaluation, the rating is High if the Small Starts share is 50% or less; otherwise it is Medium.

the templates are subject to verification by FTA. FTA has sole responsibility for

Rating Lookup Tables

Description	Low-end of Range	Score
Cost Effectiveness (Cost per Trip) - New Starts: Numeric Rating	0.00	N/A
	0.01	HIGH
	8.00	MEDIUM-HIGH
	10.00	MEDIUM
	20.00	MEDIUM-LOW
	35.00	LOW
Cost Effectiveness (Cost per Trip) - Small Starts: Numeric Rating	0.00	N/A
	0.01	HIGH
	1.00	MEDIUM-HIGH
	2.00	MEDIUM
	5.00	MEDIUM-LOW
	6.00	LOW
Standard Five-point Scale	0.00	NOT RATED
	0.01	LOW
	0.50	LOW
	1.50	MEDIUM-LOW
	2.50	MEDIUM
	3.50	MEDIUM-HIGH
	4.50	HIGH
Mobility: Weighted Estimated Annual Trips	0	LOW
	2,000,000	MEDIUM-LOW
	3,000,000	MEDIUM
	12,000,000	MEDIUM-HIGH
	30,000,000	HIGH
Environmental Benefits	-1000.000	LOW
	0.000	MEDIUM-LOW
	0.010	MEDIUM
	0.500	MEDIUM-HIGH
	1.000	HIGH

10/02/2025 163102600