

## **DRAFT**

This survey seeks to gather information on the direct costs incurred by broker-dealers and mutual funds with Anti-Money Laundering (AML)/Countering the Financing of Terrorism (CFT) program obligations in complying with the Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) and related AML/CFT requirements, and, to the extent these expenses overlap with those of other activities (such as fraud monitoring), the amount attributable to AML/CFT compliance. Your responses will help us understand the financial impact of these regulations and will be used to shape deregulatory proposals consistent with the Executive Orders of the Trump administration. Responses will not be used for examination or enforcement purposes.

If your institution maintains an enterprise-wide program for AML/CFT compliance, you may submit one survey response that addresses the questions below on a consolidated basis. It is not necessary to provide a separate survey response for each legal entity subject to the BSA. If your institution reports on a consolidated basis, please indicate here.

### **Institution Profile Questions**

Please provide your institution’s [CRD ID number for broker-dealers and 811-File Number for mutual funds]. (Your CRD ID number and 811-File Number will allow agencies to source descriptive information for your institution to help place your survey responses into context.)

1. What was the total estimated direct cost in calendar year 2024 for your institution for compliance with all programs mandated by the BSA and its implementing regulations?  
(Please state your answer in U.S. Dollars. For the purpose of your response, “Direct costs” should include labor, transaction monitoring software, and third parties (software, systems, vendors) used in AML/CFT compliance. Please exclude indirect costs such as office space or depreciation on computer systems.)

Separately, approximately what percentage of your institution’s total operating expenses did these direct AML/CFT compliance costs represent in calendar year 2024?

2. Please specify which of the following areas your institution uses technological resources, including software, to assist with:
  - a. Customer onboarding/identification/due diligence requirements
  - b. Identifying suspicious activity
  - c. Currency transaction reporting
  - d. 314(a) mandatory FinCEN information sharing
  - e. 314(b) voluntary financial institution information sharing
  - f. U.S. Department of the Treasury’s Office of Foreign Assets Control (“OFAC”) compliance

3. Approximately what percentage of the total direct cost of AML/CFT compliance is attributable to the production of Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs)? These direct costs include costs associated with AML/CFT staff reviewing alerts, maintaining a transaction monitoring system, and investigating cases arising from alerts, whether or not they lead to the production of a SAR, among other things.
4. **(OPTIONAL)** If your institution is able to provide the following information without significant burden, please provide approximately what percentage of the total cost of AML/CFT compliance is directly attributable to:
  - a. Customer Identification Program/Customer due diligence requirements
  - b. Reporting requirements for suspicious activity reporting
  - c. Reporting requirements for currency transaction reporting and exemptions
  - d. Internal controls related to AML/CFT compliance program
  - e. Independent testing for compliance by internal personnel or an outside party
  - f. Training and staffing employees
  - g. 314(a) mandatory FinCEN information sharing
  - h. 314(b) voluntary financial institution information sharing
  - i. Funds transfer record keeping
  - j. Monetary instrument recordkeeping
  - k. Special measures
  - l. Software
  - m. Other third-party activities
5. What approximate percentage of the total cost of AML/CFT compliance is attributable to complying with OFAC or other economic sanctions authorities?
6. Does your institution conduct anti-financial crime activities or maintain systems designed to combat financial crime that are not directly required by the BSA or its implementing regulations? Examples include additional customer due diligence programs or the development and operation of a Financial Intelligence Unit. If so, what is the direct cost (not included in question 1) of these additional activities across all business lines of your institution in calendar year 2024?

7. Separately, approximately what percentage of your institution's total operating expenses did these direct costs represent in calendar year 2024?
8. Please provide any available data or narrative comments for your institution regarding the extent to which the non-BSA driven expenditures (i.e., the costs referenced in question (6) generate a substantial portion of either the overall suspicious activity, and/or of national AML/CFT priorities related threat activity, that is described in filed SARs.

Please provide any available data or narrative comments on whether there are particular types of products, services, customers or delivery channels where AML/CFT -required monitoring, reviews or investigations that have generated limited useful information from your institution's perspective.