

Supporting Statement A
1082-AA05 Joint BOEM/BSEE Proposed Rule
Revisions to the Requirements for Exploratory Drilling on the Arctic Outer Continental Shelf³⁰
CFR 250, Subpart G, Well Operations and Equipment
OMB Control Number 1014-NEW4

Terms of Clearance: In accordance with 5 CFR 1320, the information collection is approved for three years

General Instructions

A completed Supporting Statement A must accompany each request for approval of a collection of information. The Supporting Statement must be prepared in the format described below and must contain the information specified below. If an item is not applicable, provide a brief explanation. When the question, “Does this information collection request (ICR) contain surveys, censuses, or employ statistical methods?” is checked “Yes,” then a Supporting Statement B must be completed. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) reserves the right to require the submission of additional information with respect to any request for approval.

Specific Instructions

Justification

Introduction

The Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE), in conjunction with the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, is proposing to revise its existing regulations for exploratory drilling and related operations on the Arctic Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), to reduce unnecessary burdens on stakeholders while ensuring that energy exploration on the Arctic OCS is safe and environmentally responsible. This proposed rule, titled *Oil and Gas and Sulfur Operations in the Outer Continental Shelf—Revisions to the Requirements for Exploratory Drilling on the Arctic Outer Continental Shelf* (RIN 1082-AA05), would revise certain requirements promulgated through the rule entitled, *Oil and Gas and Sulfur Operations on the Outer Continental Shelf—Requirements for Exploratory Drilling on the Arctic Outer Continental Shelf* (“2016 Arctic Exploratory Drilling Rule”) (see 81 FR 46478), and modify existing Arctic OCS blowout preventer (BOP) real-time monitoring requirements, as well as add new provisions to BSEE’s regulations pertaining to requirements for crane operations on artificial islands, suspensions of operations (SOO), and suspensions of production (SOP). The purpose of new rulemaking is to revise BSEE’s existing regulations for exploratory drilling and related operations on the Arctic OCS, to reduce unnecessary burdens on stakeholders while ensuring that energy exploration on the Arctic OCS is safe and environmentally responsible.

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection.

The Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Lands Act, as amended (43 U.S.C. 1334), authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to prescribe rules and regulations necessary for the administration of the leasing provisions of that Act related to mineral resources on the OCS. Such rules and regulations will apply to all operations conducted under a lease, right-of-way, or a right-of-use and easement. Operations on the OCS must

preserve, protect, and develop oil and natural gas resources in a manner that is consistent with the need to make such resources available to meet the Nation's energy needs as rapidly as possible; to balance orderly energy resource development with protection of human, marine, and coastal environments; to ensure the public a fair and equitable return on the resources of the OCS; and to preserve and maintain free enterprise competition.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

In January 2025, the President signed multiple Executive Orders (E.O.), including E.O. 14153, Unleashing Alaska's Extraordinary Resource Potential (see 90 *FR* 8347), and E.O. 14154, Unleashing American Energy (see 90 *FR* 8353), aimed at expanding natural resource development throughout the Nation and in Alaska in order to promote American energy independence. These E.O.s. also call upon the heads of Federal Agencies, including the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) to review all existing regulations, orders, guidance documents, policies, and any other similar agency actions, and rescind, revoke, revise, amend, defer, or grant exemptions from those that limit energy development on Federal lands and waters.

The ICs related to this rulemaking concern requirements under 30 CFR part 250, specifically 30 CFR § 250.724, regarding Arctic OCS blowout preventer (BOP) real-time monitoring requirements. Currently, BSEE's regulations at 30 CFR § 250.452 require operators in the Arctic OCS to follow a specific set of BOP real-time monitoring requirements, which were established under the 2016 Arctic Exploratory Drilling Rule. BSEE is proposing to eliminate those requirements in 30 CFR § 250.452 and, instead, require Arctic OCS operators to comply with the general real-time monitoring requirements in 30 CFR § 250.724, which applies throughout the OCS (other than in the Arctic OCS). There is no need to have two sets of real-time monitoring requirements in BSEE's regulations.

OMB Approved Control Number 1014-0028

The existing OMB-approved Control Number 1014-0028 covers 30 CFR 250, Subpart G, which concerns safe well operations and equipment on the OCS. If this proposed rule becomes effective and OMB approves this ICR, BSEE would update the existing OMB Control Number 1014-0028 in Subpart G by increasing the total annual burdens.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection. Be specific. If this collection is a form or a questionnaire, every question needs to be justified.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

BSEE's existing regulations at 30 CFR § 250.452 require real-time data gathering on the BOP control system during Arctic OCS exploratory drilling operations. Operators are also required to transmit the data during operations to an onshore location where it must be stored and monitored by personnel who would be capable of interpreting the data and have the authority to initiate any necessary action in response to abnormal events or data. The data gathered must be stored at a designated location for recordkeeping purposes after operations have been completed. Having the real-time, well-related data available to onshore personnel would increase the level of oversight of well conditions during operations. Onshore personnel could review data and help rig personnel conduct operations in a safe manner. Also,

onshore personnel would be able to assist the rig crew in identifying and evaluating abnormalities that might arise during operations. Having the data stored and available when needed enables BSEE to perform audits, investigations, or other types of analyses, as part of its regulatory oversight functions.

BSEE is proposing to eliminate those requirements in 30 CFR § 250.452 and, instead, require Arctic OCS operators to comply with the general real-time monitoring requirements in 30 CFR § 250.724, which applies throughout the OCS (other than in the Arctic OCS). There is no need to have two sets of real-time monitoring requirements in BSEE's regulations. Furthermore, the burdens in the real-time monitoring requirements in 30 CFR § 250.724 have been updated since the 2016 Arctic Exploratory Drilling Rule and are more accurate.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden and specifically how this collection meets GPEA requirements.

BSEE encourages respondents to submit the information electronically.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

The DOI has several Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) that define the responsibilities of each agency with respect to activities in the OCS. These MOUs are effective in avoiding duplication of regulations and reporting requirements. The information collected is specific to a well, a lessee/operator, or a particular request for BSEE approval and is unique to the site and well operation.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden.

The information collected is unique to a specific drilling/well operation and does not duplicate any other available information; similar information is not readily available or discernible from other sources. The Department of the Interior and other government agencies have Memoranda of Understanding which define the responsibilities of their agencies with respect to activities in the OCS. These are effective in avoiding duplication of regulations and reporting requirements.

6. Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

If BSEE did not collect the information, the Bureau could not determine whether lessees and operators are properly providing for the safety of operations and the protection of the environment and resources. The information is necessary to carry out the mandate of the OCSLA. More specifically, BSEE could not ensure that drilling operations are planned to minimize the risks to personnel and the environment.

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- (a) requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;*
- (b) requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;*
- (c) requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;*
- (d) requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records, for more than 3 years;*
- (e) in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;*
- (f) requiring the use of statistical data classification that has been reviewed and approved by OMB;*
- (g) that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or*
- (h) requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.*

There are no special circumstances that would require the collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines. We do not exceed the guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5.

8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.11, soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and in response to the PRA statement associated with the collection over the past 3 years and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years – even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

As required in 5 CFR 1320.11, BSEE is providing for and has described the 60-day review and comment process in the preamble of the proposed rule. We will address comments received on the information collection in the final rulemaking process.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

BSEE will not provide payment or gifts to respondents in this collection.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

BSEE will protect any confidential commercial or proprietary information according to the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and DOI's implementing regulations (43 CFR 2); section 26 of OCSLA (43 U.S.C. 1352); 30 CFR 250.197, *Data and information to be made available to the public or for limited inspection*; and 30 CFR part 252, *OCS Oil and Gas Information Program*.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

The collection does not include sensitive or private questions.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

(a) Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.

(b) If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens.

Potential respondents comprise of Federal oil, gas, and/or sulfur OCS lessees and operators. It should be noted that not all the potential respondents will submit information at any given time, and some may submit multiple times. The burden estimates include the time for gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Refer to the following table for a breakdown of the hour burdens for this proposed rule. This rule proposes to remove the following:

BURDEN TABLE
[Changes due to the proposed rule shown in bold]

30 CFR 250 Subpart G	Reporting & Recordkeeping Requirement	Hour Burden	Average No. of Annual Responses	Annual Burden Hours (rounded)
724	Transmit real-time monitoring (RTM) data onshore during operations, in HPHT reservoirs, or for all Arctic OCS drilling operations ; store and monitor by qualified personnel. Provide BSEE access to RTM data storage locations upon request.	2,160	+1 rig	+2,160 hours
724(c)	Develop and implement a RTM plan that includes all required data of this section; make available to BSEE upon request.	5	+1 plan	+5 hours
Total Subpart G			+2 responses	+2,165 hours
			No Non-Hour Cost Burden	

(c) Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here.

The average respondent cost is \$105/hour (rounded). This cost is broken out in the below table using May 2024 data from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Occupational Employment and Wage Statistics tables for the oil and gas extraction industry. See the BLS website: <https://data.bls.gov/oes/#/industry/211100>.

Position	Base Pay Hourly Rate (\$/hr)	Hourly Rate including Benefits (1.4* x \$/hr)	Percent of time spent on collection	Weighted Average (\$/hour/rounded)
Facilities Managers (11-3013)	91.99	128.79	5%	\$6.44
Architectural and Engineering Managers (11-9041)	117.33	164.26	5%	\$8.21
Petroleum Engineers (17-2171)	81.39	113.95	30%	\$34.18
Mining and Geological Engineers, Including Mining Safety Engineers (17-2151)	79.87	111.82	20%	\$22.36
Geoscientists, Except Hydrologists and Geographers (19-2042)	82.18	115.05	10%	\$11.51
Surveyors, Cartographers, and Photogrammetrists (17-1020)	56.29	78.81	5%	\$3.94
Drafters, Engineering Technicians, and Mapping Technicians (17-3000)	46.76	65.46	5%	\$3.27

Inspectors, Testers, Sorters, Samplers, and Weighers (51-9061)	53.77	75.28	10%	\$7.53
Compliance Officers (13-1041)	49.68	69.55	5%	\$3.48
Computer Occupations (15-1200)	64.04	89.66	5%	\$4.48
Weighted Average (\$/hour)				\$105

*A multiplier of 1.4 for private industry (as implied by BLS news release USDL-25-1358, September 12, 2025 (see <http://www.bls.gov/news.release/eccec.nr0.htm>)) was added for benefits.

Based on a cost factor of \$105 per hour, we estimate the hour burden from the proposed rule as a dollar equivalent is \$227,325 (\$105 x 2,165 hours = \$227,325).

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual non-hour cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden already reflected in Item 12).

(a) The cost estimate should be split into two components: (1) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life) and (2) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information (including filing fees paid-for form processing). Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and record storage facilities.

(b) If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burden and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of purchasing or contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.

(c) Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government, or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

There are no non-hour cost burdens related to this information collection request.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal Government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information.

To analyze and review the information required by the proposed rule, we estimate the Government will spend an average of approximately 0.75 hour for each hour spent by lessees.

The average government cost is \$103/hour (rounded). This cost is broken out in the below table using the Office of Personnel Management salary data for the REST OF THE UNITED STATES (<https://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/>).

Position	Grade	Hourly Pay rate (\$/hour estimate)	Hourly rate including benefits (1.6* x \$/hour)	Percent of time spent on collection	Weighted Average (\$/hour)
Clerical	GS-7/5	\$27.40	\$43.84	5%	\$2.19
Petroleum Engineer	GS-13/5	\$57.80	\$92.48	60%	\$55.49
Supv. Petroleum Engineer	GS-15/5	\$80.34	\$128.54	35%	\$44.99
Weighted Average (\$/hour)					\$103

*A multiplier of 1.6 for government employees (as implied by BLS news release USDL-25-1358, September 12, 2025 (see <http://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm>)) was added for benefits.

Based on a cost factor of \$103 per hour, we estimate the total annual cost to Government is \$167,272 (2,165 hours x 0.75 = 1,624 (rounded) hours x \$103 = \$167,272).

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments.

BSEE is requesting a new OMB control number, designated as 1014-NEW4, in this submission for the proposed rulemaking, *1082-AA05, Revisions to the Requirements for Exploratory Drilling on the Arctic Outer Continental Shelf*. The 1014-NEW4 control number requests a program increase of +2 responses and +2,165 burden hours to 1014-0028 (Subpart G—Well Operations and Equipment). Once the 1082-AA05 final rule is effective, BSEE will transfer the responses and hour burdens from 1014-NEW4 to OMB Control Number 1014-0028, which expires May 31, 2027, and then discontinue the 1014-NEW4 control number.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

BSEE will not tabulate or publish the data.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

BSEE will display the OMB control number and OMB expiration date where required.

18. Explain each exception to the topics of the certification statement identified in, “Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submission.”

To the extent that the topics apply to this collection of information, we are not making any exceptions to

the “Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions.”