

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 6/6/25, 2:27 PM
<b>Received:</b> May 09, 2025
<b>Status:</b> Draft
<b>Tracking No.</b> mah-njmv-q9mg
<b>Comments Due:</b> May 09, 2025
<b>Submission Type:</b> API

**Docket:** SSA-2025-0014

Agency Information Collection Activities: New Emergency Request

**Comment On:** SSA-2025-0014-0001

Agency Information Collection Activities: New Emergency Request

**Document:** SSA-2025-0014-DRAFT-0028

Comment from H, G

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** G H

**Email:** vtangry@yahoo.com

---

## General Comment

I strongly oppose this policy. I strongly oppose the implementation of this policy. I strongly oppose the wording of this policy.

First: There is nothing specified in this request indicating the need for "emergency" classification and/or a shorter comment window.

Second: The latter portion of this following snippet is far too vague and risks significant misinterpretation. "...such as post-entitlement/post-eligibility direct deposit changes, and certain claims which SSA flags as anomalous." Much more specific reasoning should be provided to cover all potential circumstances.

Lastly, and most importantly: The amount of harm done to millions of elderly and disable Social Security recipients will be significant. This isn't just about people potentially being inconvenienced, but about causing an overwhelming burden on those who cannot drive and have no transportation access to get to a Social Security office and to those who have no internet/data access or lack the capabilities to utilize the online means of creating a SAP. This will cause missed Social Security payments; completely disastrous to someone living month to month, and completely unnecessary.

The consequences of this policy far exceeds the benefits, so I strongly oppose this policy, strongly oppose the implementation of this policy, and strongly oppose the wording of this policy.