Optimization of Ventilation Design for the Hospital Post-Anesthesia Care Unit

KISHOR KHANKARI, PH.D., FELLOW ASHRAE;
ALBERTO GARCIA; LEONID TURKEVICH, PH.D.;
KEVIN H. DUNN, CIH

Perianesthesia nurses and other healthcare providers who primarily work in post-anesthesia care units (PACUs) are at risk of exposure to hazardous waste anesthetic gases (WAGs) released by patients who have been brought into the PACU for recovery immediately following surgery. These can cause potential health effects. The airflow patterns in the PACU play a crucial role in determining the spread and concentration of WAGs in the breathing zone of occupants. A computational fluid dynamics (CFD) study was conducted to evaluate PACU ventilation performance.

Kishor Khankari is the president at AnSight LLC in Ann Arbor, Mich. Alberto Garcia is a mechanical engineer, Leonid Turkevich, Ph.D., is senior service fellow and Kevin H. Dunn, CIH, is research mechanical engineer at the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) in Cincinnati.

ISTOCK.COM/TEMPURA

It evaluates the impact of various HVAC layouts including supply and return locations on the airflow patterns, temperature distribution and the concentration of sevoflurane in the breathing zone of occupants. In addition, the impact of the supply airflow rate is also evaluated. A newly developed metric of the Spread Index is used to compare the spread of sevoflurane under different HVAC layouts and supply airflow rates.

The waste anesthetic gases (WAGs) include nitrous oxide (N_2O) and halogenated agents (vapors) such as halothane, sevoflurane, enflurane, isoflurane and desflurane. Exposure to waste anesthetic gases can potentially cause nausea, dizziness, headaches, fatigue and irritability, as well as sterility, miscarriages, birth defects, cancer and liver and kidney disease.[1,2]

FIGURE 1 Schematic diagram of CFD models for four different HVAC layouts.



TABLE 1 Description of HVAC layouts analyzed.

CASE	HVAC CONFIGURATIONS ANALYZED
1	Four-way supply diffusers close to the patient beds and returns in the core region – 6 ach
2	Laminar supply diffusers over each bed and low wall returns in the headwall – 6 ach
3	Laminar supply diffusers over each bed and ceiling returns adjacent to the laminar diffuser – 6 ach
4	Laminar supply diffusers over each bed and headwall returns behind the bed – 6 ach
5	Laminar supply diffusers over each bed and headwall returns behind the bed – 4 ach

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) recommends that workers should not be exposed to halogenated agent concentrations of more than 2 ppm when used alone or more than 0.5 ppm when used in combination with N_2O over a sampling period of less than 1 hour.[3,4] Currently, NIOSH does not have specific recommended exposure limits (RELs) for the three most used anesthetics (isoflurane, desflurane and sevoflurane).[5]

The primary objective of the PACU ventilation system is to reduce the concentration of WAGs in the breathing zone of occupants, and thereby minimize the workers' exposure to hazardous gases. Airflow patterns and the resulting flow path of WAGs can affect its distribution and spread in the PACU.

Several factors related to the design and operation of the ventilation system can affect the occupant exposure levels. These factors include the number, location and type of supply diffusers; supply airflow volume or air changes per hour (ach) and associated diffuser throw; supply air temperature; number, size and locations of return/exhaust grilles; the location and strengths of various heat sources; arrangement of furniture and other obstructions to airflow; and the layout of patient beds. Physical testing of all these parameters under controlled conditions for various operating conditions is time and labor-intensive, if not impossible. CFD analysis provides a feasible alternative for systematic evaluation of these parameters.[6,7]

Description of the CFD Model

A three-dimensional, steady-state, non-isothermal CFD model of a PACU was developed for this study. The total floor area of the model PACU space is 3,026 ft^2 (281 m^2)—68 ft × 44.5 ft (20.7 m × 13.6 m) with 9 ft (2.7 m) ceiling height. *Figure 1* shows that the PACU model has a total of 10 patient beds and three caretakers.

FIGURE 2 Airflow patterns in the PACU for four different HVAC layouts.



The patient beds are located at three perimeter walls, and the caretakers are positioned at three arbitrary locations. The PACU has a total of four doors. The nurses' station is located close to the two entry doors. The three perimeter walls are equipped with headwall systems to accommodate medical gas and electrical functions. *Figure 1* shows that an equipment cart and a computer are placed alongside each patient's bed.

The analysis includes two types of supply diffusers and four locations of returns, as presented in *Table 1* (page 24) and *Figure 1*. Case 1 represents a traditional overhead mixing HVAC layout, which features four-way supply diffusers and ceiling returns. This layout is commonly observed in PACUs during field studies.[5] Case 2 to Case 5, on the other hand, involve laminar diffusers placed over each patient bed and two laminar diffusers located above the nurses' station, along with three different return locations. In Case 2, 13 returns are placed on the wall at a low level between the patient beds. In Case 3, 10 returns are placed in the ceiling close to the back wall, adjacent to the laminar diffusers. As for Case 4 and Case 5, 10 returns are placed over the headwall behind each patient bed.

The non-isothermal CFD analysis was performed for a total cooling load of 11,877 Btu/h (3.5 kW), which includes the heat rejected from 13 persons (3,250 Btu/h [0.95 kW]), 10 computers (3,850 Btu/h [1.13 kW]) and 14 lights (4,777 Btu/h [1.4 kW]). The supply airflow rate was set at 2,723 cfm (1285 L/s), which corresponds to 6 total ach (h^{-1}). The PACU was assumed to be at positive pressure, and thus, an offset airflow of 200 cfm (94.4 L/s) was allowed to exit through the undercuts of the four doors.

To meet the setpoint temperature of 72°F (22.2°C) in the space, the supply air temperature was set to 68°F (20°C). In addition, Case 5 with the headwall returns was analyzed for 4 ach (h^{-1}) for the supply airflow rate of 1,800 cfm (850 L/s) with an offset airflow of 200 cfm (94.4 L/s). The sevoflurane was used as a surrogate for WAGs and released from the mouth of a patient at 2,000 ppmv concentration at the temperature of 90°F (32.2°C). The breathing rate of patients is assumed to be 0.18 cfm (5 L/min).[8]

Spread Index $(SI)_{TC}$

Spread Index $(SI)_{TC}$ is a CFD-based metric developed to analyze and quantify the spread of contaminants in indoor spaces. It is the ratio of the volume of the space occupied by the contaminants above a certain target concentration (TC) to the total volume of the space. Ideally, the ventilation and air cleaning for indoor spaces should reduce the contaminant concentrations below the acceptable levels everywhere in the space and limit the spread of contaminants. Spread Index $(SI)_{TC}$ is a ventilation effectiveness metric for analyzing and quantifying the extent of the spread of contaminants above a certain threshold level in a space. The Spread Index provides three-dimensional visualization in identifying the contaminated zones at or above certain target concentrations.[9]

Assuming the target concentration is a safe exposure limit, the WAG concentration levels should remain below the target value at all times and everywhere in the space. It means the $(SI)_{TC}$ should always be close to zero in the entire PACU space. Note that the safe level of concentrations can depend on several risk factors including the type and quantities

of WAGs released in the PACU. High values of $(SI)_{TC}$ can indicate the spread and build-up of contaminants above the target levels of concentration. The design of a ventilation system and the resulting airflow patterns play an important role in determining the $(SI)_{TC}$ levels in the space.[9] For this study, the target concentration of sevoflurane is assumed to be 2 ppmv. Additionally, the Spread Index values for various target concentrations are also presented.

FIGURE 3 Distribution of sevoflurane concentration in the breathing plane at a 4 ft (1.2 m) elevation.



Results and Discussion

Airflow Patterns

Figure 2 (page 26) shows airflow patterns in the PACU for various HVAC layouts. The flow path lines are colored by the sevoflurane concentration. This CFD analysis confirms that the traditional overhead mixing layout (Case 1) with four-way supply diffusers and ceiling returns forms mixing airflow patterns. Once the supply air is released from the four-way diffusers it moves along the ceiling and then flows downward after encountering another supply airstream or a wall. Such flow patterns in turn create several air recirculation zones, which promote the entrainment of WAG plumes into the supply airstreams. The mixing airflow patterns spread and accumulate the contaminated air in the PACU and form zones of high concentration before exiting through the returns in the ceiling.

In other cases (Case 2 to Case 5), downward flow patterns are formed once the supply air is released from the laminar diffusers. Such high-velocity downward flow also entrains the surrounding air into the supply stream. However, the locations of returns play a crucial role in determining the flow path of the contaminated plumes. In the case of low wall returns (Case 2), the exhaled plume from the patient's face gets entrained into the adjacent recirculation zone formed by the downward airstreams. The contaminated plume moves toward the back wall, gets lifted upward, and then mixes with the downward flow. Due to the high downward momentum of the supply air, only part of this contaminated air can exit through the low wall returns, while the rest spreads into the PACU that eventually exits through other returns.

In Case 3 a part of the clean air supplied through the laminar diffusers escapes through the adjacent ceiling return without reaching the PACU space. Such a short-circuiting is not desirable. In this case, the airflow exhibits similar flow patterns as in the previous case. However, unlike the previous case, the exhaled plume from the patient's face moves sideward along the wall, gets lifted upward toward the ceiling returns where it exits the space. Note that in this case also the supply air streams get contaminated due to the entrainment of the exhaled plumes.

The airflow patterns for the headwall return layout (Case 4) are similar to the low wall return layout (Case 2). However, the exhaled plume containing sevoflurane moves toward the back wall and gets readily captured by the headwall return, which is located right behind the patient's bed. Such capture of the exhaled plume close to the source (patient's face) avoids contamination of the supply air and the eventual spread into the PACU space. In contrast to the other layouts, the lower concentration of sevoflurane in Case 4 as depicted by the blue color of flow path lines indicates that the supply air streams are not contaminated by the exhaled plumes from the patients.

Distribution of Sevoflurane Concentration

Figure 3 shows the distribution of sevoflurane concentration in a horizontal plane in the breathing zone of occupants at a 4 ft (1.2 m) elevation. These figures indicate that the layout of Case 4 with the laminar diffusers and headwall return shows the most promise in reducing the WAG concentration in the breathing zone. The Case 2 layout with the laminar diffusers and low wall returns shows poor ventilation performance with the highest concentration in the breathing plane. The Case 1 traditional layout and the Case 3 layout with the laminar diffusers and ceiling returns show similar performance in controlling the concentration of sevoflurane in the breathing zone. However, the placement of laminar diffusers over the nurses' station helps in reducing the sevoflurane concentration in the vicinity.

In all the cases the highest concentration of sevoflurane is shown to be around the patients' heads, indicating that the highest exposure will occur when the health-care workers are close to the patient's face, whereas those at the nurses' station will experience significantly lower exposure. Placing the return behind the patient's head can be the most desirable layout for significantly lowering the WAG exposure everywhere in the PACU.

FIGURE 4 Spread Index plots showing zones of high concentration in the PACU.



TABLE 2 Spread Index values (percent) for various target concentrations.

TARGET CONCENTRATION (PPMV)	CASE 1	CASE 2	CASE 3	CASE 4	CASE 5
1	87.6	84.8	21.9	0.11	0.15
2	4.2	17.3	4.1	0.07	0.11
3	0.6	4.2	1.6	0.06	0.08
5	0.1	1.1	0.4	0.04	0.05

Analysis of Spread Index

Figure 4 shows the Spread Index $(SI)_{2ppmv}$ plots for all four HVAC layouts for the target concentration of 2 ppmv. The Spread Index values show the percentage of the PACU volume occupied by the sevoflurane concentration at or above 2 ppmv. Unlike the contour plots in *Figure 3*, the Spread Index plots depict a three-dimensional spread of the sevoflurane in the PACU.

As per the figure, the HVAC layout with laminar diffusers and headwall returns (Case 4) has a Spread Index value of 0.07%. This indicates that such a layout can significantly limit the spread of WAGs by keeping the zone of high WAG concentration close to the patient's face. Such a high concentration zone forms below the breathing zone of caretakers, which is below 4 ft to 6 ft (1.2 m to 1.8 m) elevation.

On the other hand, the Case 2 HVAC layout with the laminar diffusers and low wall returns shows the highest values of the Spread Index of 17.3%. Case 1 with overhead mixing and Case 3 with laminar diffusers and ceiling returns layouts show similar values of $(SI)_{2ppmv}$ of about 4%. As explained before, the vertical movement of the sevoflurane plume as in Case 1 to Case 3 can potentially increase the caretakers' exposure in the breathing zone, whereas in the Case 4 layout, the horizontal movement of a sevoflurane plume below the breathing zone of occupants combined with an immediate exit through the headwall returns can significantly limit the spread of WAGs and keep the exposure levels low.

Table 2 (page 29) displays the Spread Index values for various target concentrations ranging from 1 ppmv to 5 ppmv. These values confirm that among all the layouts the Case 2 layout shows the relatively higher spread of sevoflurane for all target concentrations. In the cases of Case 1 and Case 2, the Spread Index values range from 85% to 88% for the target concentration of 1 ppmv, indicating potentially widespread WAGs in the PACU, whereas in Case 3 with ceiling returns it reduces to 22%. Consistent with the previous results, the Case 4 HVAC layout shows the lowest values of the Spread Index for all target concentrations.

FIGURE 5 Distribution of temperature at central plane in the PACU.



FIGURE 6 A comparison of sevoflurane concentration in the breathing plane for 6 ach and 4 ach (h^{-1}).



FIGURE 7 A comparison of Spread Index $(SI)_{2ppmv}$ for 6 ach and 4 ach (h^{-1}).



Temperature Distribution

Figure 5 shows the temperature distribution on a vertical plane passing through the center of the PACU space. The figures show that thermal stratification occurs in all HVAC layouts. In cases with the laminar supply diffusers, this stratification is particularly notable. As explained before, the downward supply of cold air reaches the floor first and then rises due to the circulating flow patterns. However, as the air moves upward, it becomes warmer as it passes around and through the heat sources. The hot air then rises toward the ceiling and moves along it. This is similar to the displacement ventilation systems where cold air is introduced at the floor level, creating a temperature stratification. The high-concentration zones near the ceiling, as indicated by the Spread Index plots (*Figure 4*), can be partly attributed to this thermal stratification.

Impact of Supply Airflow Rates

Figure 6 displays the distribution of sevoflurane concentration in the breathing plane while *Figure 7* shows the Spread Index $(SI)_{2ppmv}$ plots for 6 ach and 4 ach corresponding to the supply airflow rates of 2,723 cfm (1285 L/s) and 1,800 cfm (850 L/s), respectively. Both analyses were performed for the same HVAC layout with laminar diffusers and headwall returns.

This analysis indicates that a reduction in the supply airflow rate from 6 ach to 4 ach does not significantly affect the ventilation performance of the PACU. In both cases, the high concentration zones are limited only to the vicinity of the sevoflurane source. *Table 2* shows a slight increase in the Spread Index values for the reduced airflow rate (Case 5) for various target concentrations. This can be attributed more to the reduced dilution than to the airflow patterns.

As demonstrated in the previous studies, the airflow patterns depend only on the space HVAC layout and not on the supply airflow rate.[6,10] Therefore, these analyses show that optimized airflow patterns with proper locations for air supply and return can reduce the supply airflow rate, leading to a reduction in energy consumption, first costs and operating costs of HVAC equipment.

Summary and Conclusions

The postoperative patients in the PACU of a hospital are the sources of WAGs. The health-care workers there can potentially be exposed to hazardous WAGs. To protect them, it's important to design a ventilation system that effectively removes these gases and reduces exposure. By improving airflow patterns, the concentration of WAGs in the occupant breathing zone can be reduced. To evaluate the impact of various HVAC layouts on the ventilation performance of the PACU, a study was conducted using non-isothermal CFD simulations. The study used a Spread Index metric to compare sevoflurane's spread for various HVAC layouts.

The traditional HVAC layout with four-way supply diffusers and ceiling returns was found to create mixing airflow patterns. These patterns can potentially distribute WAGs throughout the entire PACU, increasing the risk of exposure for health-care workers. Similarly, the low wall and ceiling returns layouts with ceiling laminar diffusers also create recirculating airflow patterns, which can bring sevoflurane plumes from the patient's face into the breathing zone of occupants.

The HVAC layout with laminar diffusers and headwall returns showed the most promise in reducing the concentration of WAGs in the breathing zone. This layout uses horizontal movement of a sevoflurane plume below the breathing zone of occupants combined with immediate exit of the contaminated air through the headwall returns. This significantly reduces the concentration of sevoflurane in the breathing zone and limits the spread of WAGs in the PACU.

The study also indicates that the PACU with such an optimized HVAC layout can be operated at reduced dilution airflow rates without significantly affecting the ventilation performance. This confirms that it's not the air change rates but the airflow patterns that play a crucial role in improving ventilation effectiveness. By using CFD to analyze and optimize airflow patterns in indoor spaces, it's possible to limit the spread of contaminants and reduce the exposure of occupants. Such optimized ventilation designs can further reduce energy consumption, first costs and the operating costs of the HVAC system.

References

1. McGlothlin, J., J. Moenning, S. Cole. 2014. "Evaluation and control of waste anesthetic gases in the postanesthesia care unit." *Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing* 29(4)298 – 312.

2. McGlothlin, J., S. Caler, P. Lilley, M. Rosales, et al. 2021. "Recognition, Evaluation and Control of Waste Anesthetic Gases in the Post-Anesthesia Care Unit." White Paper. American Industrial Hygiene Association.

3. NIOSH. 1977. "Criteria for a Recommended Standard: Occupational Exposure to Waste Anesthetic Gases and Vapors." Publication No. 77–140. U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, Center for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

4. EPA. 2016. "Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) for Oxides of Nitrogen—Health Criteria (2016)." U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

5. Garcia, A., G. Merk, S. Garner, H. Feng. 2022. "Engineering Controls for Post-Operatory Waste Anesthetic Gases—Baseline Data Collection." National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Division of Field Studies and Engineering. Engineering and Physical Hazards Branch. EPHB Report No. 2022-DFSE-822.

6. Khankari, K. 2016. "Patient room HVAC: airflow path matters." *ASHRAE Journal* 58(6).

7. Khankari, K. 2018. "CFD analysis of hospital operating room ventilation system part 2: analyses of HVAC configurations." *ASHRAE Journal* 60(6).

8. Hiller, K., A. Altamirano, C. Cai, S. Tran, G. Williams. 2015. "Evaluation of waste anesthetic gas in the postanesthesia care unit within the patient breathing zone." *Anesthesiology Research and Practice* 2015:354184 http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/354184

9. Khankari, K. 2021. "Analysis of spread of airborne contaminants and risk of infection." *ASHRAE Journal* 63(7).

10. Khankari, K. 2018. "CFD analysis of hospital operating room ventilation system part 1: analysis of air change rates." *ASHRAE Journal* 60(5). ■

Author's note: The findings and conclusions of this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the NIOSH, CDC. Mention of any product or company name does not constitute endorsement by the NIOSH, CDC.