

To: Kelsi Feltz
Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA)
Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

From: Betsie Kalicos
Office of Child Care
Administration for Children and Families (ACF)

Date: May 20, 2026

Subject: Change Request – Office of Child Care Events Registration and Post-Event Survey (OMB #0970-0617)

This memo requests approval of changes to the approved information collection, Office of Child Care Events Registration and Post-Event Survey, approved under the Administration for Children and Families Generic for Information Collections Related to Gatherings (OMB #0970-0617).

Background

The Office of Child Care (OCC) regularly hosts webinars, meetings, events, and workshops. In August 2024, OCC had a registration form and post-event survey approved to be used at OCC events. We are requesting to add questions to the question bank for the post-event survey.

Overview of Requested Changes

This request is to add the following options and questions to the post-event survey question bank. These do not impact the estimated burden or the purpose or use of the data collection as a subset of questions are selected from the bank of questions.

- Please select your role at this event
 - Federal Employee
 - State CCDF Administrator
 - Territory CCDF Administrator
 - National Technical Assistance provider (add sub list)
 - Child Care Automated Reporting System
 - Child Care State Capacity Building
 - Child Care Quality and Business Support Center
 - Subsidy Innovation and Accountability
 - Tribal Child Care Capacity Building Center
 - National Organization
 - Invited Presenter or Guest
 - Other (Please specify)
- How did you attend the meeting?
 - In-person
 - Virtually

- o Both
- Please select your OCC region:
 - o Northeast (CT, DE, DC, MA, MD, ME, NH, PA, RI, VA, VT, WV)
 - o Southeast (AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, NJ, NY, PR, SC, TN, VI)
 - o Midwest (IA, IL, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, WI)
 - o Northwest (AK, CO, ID, MT, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY)
 - o Southwest (AR, AS, AZ, CA, GU, HI, IN, LA, MP, NM, NV, OH, OK, TX)
 - o N/A
- The meeting provided clear and actionable guidance on federal CCDF policy and program requirements:
 - o Strongly agree
 - o Agree
 - o Neutral
 - o Disagree
 - o Strongly disagree
 - If neutral, disagree, or strongly disagree: How could guidance on federal CCDF requirements be clearer or more actionable?
- Which federal CCDF policy and program requirements are clearer after the 2026 STAM meeting?
- Which federal CCDF policy and program requirements remain unclear after the 2026 STAM meeting?
- How effective were opportunities to provide input and ask questions?
 - o Very effective
 - o Effective
 - o Neutral
 - o Ineffective
 - o Very ineffective
 - If very effective or effective, do you feel your input was heard, respected, and valued?
 - If neutral, ineffective, or very ineffective, how could the meeting have been more engaging?
- Which implementation challenges were addressed well during the 2026 STAM meeting?
- Which implementation challenges would require post-meeting assistance?
- The meeting provided valuable opportunities to engage with other states/territories:
 - o Strongly agree
 - o Agree
 - o Neutral
 - o Disagree
 - o Strongly disagree
- What insights or promising practices did you gain from your peers?
- Do you plan to further collaborate with states/territories you connected with at this meeting?
 - o Yes

- o No
- Having attended this meeting, how likely are you to implement new and/or modify existing strategies, policies, or procedures in your CCDF work?
 - o Very likely
 - o Likely
 - o Neutral
 - o Unlikely
 - o Very unlikely
- What is one specific action you plan to take following the meeting?
- What barriers might prevent you from implementing what you learned?
- What outcomes, actions, or support would you like to see following this meeting?
- Overall, how satisfied were you with the meeting?
 - o Very satisfied
 - o Satisfied
 - o Neutral
 - o Dissatisfied
 - o Very dissatisfied
- Which session/topics were most valuable to your work?
- What topics or challenges do you wish were discussed?
- What was the most valuable takeaway from the meeting?
- Meeting formats (e.g., plenary, breakout sessions, roundtables) provided adequate opportunities for discussion, questions, and input:
 - o Strongly agree
 - o Agree
 - o Neutral
 - o Disagree
 - o Strongly disagree
 - o If neutral, disagree, or strongly disagree: What format or structure would make future meetings more engaging?
- How satisfied were you with meeting logistics (registration, communications, hybrid/virtual experience)?
 - o Very satisfied
 - o Satisfied
 - o Neutral
 - o Dissatisfied
 - o Very dissatisfied
 - o If neutral, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied: How could the meeting logistics be improved for future meetings?

Time Sensitivities

This request is time sensitive as we would like to open registration as soon as possible. The

meeting is happening in the week of 7/20/2026.